"Faith comes from hearing, and hearing through the word of Christ" (Rom. 10:17).

"One holy Church is to continue forever. The Church is the assembly of saints, in which the Gospel is rightly taught and the Sacraments are rightly administered" (Augustana VII).

The Church "has outward marks so that it can be recognized, namely, the pure doctrine of the Gospel, and the administration of the Sacraments in accordance with the Gospel of Christ" (Apology VII/VIII).

The Lord Jesus gives John a vision of the Church at the consummation of all things: "Behold, a great multitude that no one could number, from every nation, from all tribes and peoples and languages, standing before the throne and before the Lamb, clothed in white robes, with palm branches in their hands, and crying out with a loud voice, 'Salvation belongs to our God who sits on the throne, and to the Lamb!'" (Rev. 7:9–10).

This one, holy, Christian and apostolic Church is here and now, wherever the Word of God is present. The Word of God will have believers; it will not return to God void!

The Church is created and lives by words — specific words, true words and every one of them God's Words. "Let God be true though every one were a liar" (Rom. 3:4). As Jesus said, "Heaven and earth will pass away, but my words will not pass away" (Luke 21:33).
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January 2015 – Salvation (Pastor David Rufner, New Hope, Hudsonville, Mich.)
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May 2015 – Holiness (Pastor Nabil Nour, Redeemer, Armour, S.D.)

THE CHURCH IS COMMISSIONED to bring life to the world through God's Words, so that people may be baptized into eternal life through faith in Jesus Christ and be taught to treasure and observe everything Jesus has commanded.

In her quest for the lost, the Church is often tempted to adopt the words of the culture or society. To be faithful to the Lord who gave her His words, the Church must be faithful to the fullness of God's Word. If the Church fails to do so, we can easily become like the friends of Job. Despite their love for their friend, their religious and godly talk with their friend, God says to them: "My anger burns against you and against your two friends, for you have not spoken of me what is right, as my servant Job has" (Job 42:7).

The Great Commission's charge to "[teach] them to observe all that I have commanded you" bars the Church from modified meanings of any of God's Words, lest the hearers cease to hear God and never be brought to the faith through His Word.

Therefore, the Church gladly and boldly, with love for the lost, takes up this glorious commission. Her desire is nothing more than to speak “the truth in love” and “to grow up in every way into him who is the head, into Christ, from whom the whole body, joined and held together by every joint with which it is equipped, when each part is working properly, makes the body grow so that it builds itself up in love” (Eph. 4:15–16).
As Lutheran pastors, we are committed to a specific confession of the faith because we have found that confession to be a true and faithful exposition of all of God’s Word, namely, the Augsburg Confession, its Apology, Luther’s catechisms and all the documents of the Book of Concord.

Why are the real textual/contextual meanings of God’s Word so important to us? Because of our commitment to Christ and to His Scripture as God’s Word and, most of all, for the sake of the Gospel of Christ. As the Scripture says, ”Now we have received not the spirit of the world, but the Spirit who is from God, that we might understand the things freely given us by God. And we impart this in words not taught by human wisdom but taught by the Spirit, interpreting spiritual truths to those who are spiritual” (1 Cor. 2:12–13).

Lest the good and faithful words used to impart “spiritual truths” be taken captive to the redefining efforts of the culture, or be lost in often-heard clichés or incomprehensible jargon, it is critical that we return to the real substance of the words as the Lord has filled them in His Word and as they are faithfully explained in our confession. Only in this way shall we be able to receive in faith the fullness of things freely given to us by God and joyfully give them away to others. In other words, we pastors are called to “unpack” these words for our people, pointing to the objective realities revealed in and worked by Jesus Christ for us.

The goal of each study, then, is to examine one of the words of faith our Lord has given to us.
Love
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“So we have come to know and to believe the love that God has for us. God is love, and whoever abides in love abides in God, and God abides in him” (1 John 4:16).

Therein lies the focus and goal of this study: “coming to know” God’s love. Of ourselves, we do not know it or believe it. Only through the gift of faith in Christ do we know God’s love and abide in it. This is our prayer.

The Problem

If we assume that all people will be delighted to hear that God loves them, we are sorely mistaken. The problem is, as C.S. Lewis states it in The Problem of Pain, that God’s love is “the intolerable compliment” that God pays us. The problem isn’t just that we don’t know that God loves us. The problem is that we don’t want Him to love us. As Lewis puts it, it’s not that we want more of God’s love, but less. It’s the child who would like his parents to love him a little less (so he could do more of what he wants) or the husband who would be quite content if his wife’s love weren’t there at times. Instead of a father, it’s desiring a grandfather who just wants us to enjoy ourselves.

It’s a problem of definitions. If we define love only in human terms of affection, admiration, empathy, kindness, compassion and the like, then we won’t have any problem with a God who loves us. However, if we define love as all of that and much more — the way God defines it and, more importantly, the way He does it — then we have a problem. God loving us the way He truly does in Christ is the intolerable compliment. It is a love, as Luther teaches, seen in the cross and suffering of Christ.

Read and discuss these following thoughts on love:

Luther’s Heidelberg Disputation, Thesis 28:

“The love of God does not find, but creates, that which is pleasing to it.
The love of man comes into being through that which is pleasing to it.”

G.K. Chesterton in Heretics, distinguishing between admiration and love:

“He admires England, but he does not love her; for we

admiare things with reasons, but love them without reasons.
He admires England because she is strong, not because she is English.”

Chesterton in Orthodoxy, again on what happens when love is without a reason:

“Men did not love Rome because she was great. She was great because they had loved her … The man who is most likely to ruin the place he loves is exactly the man who loves it with a reason. The man who will improve the place is the man who loves it without a reason.”

Questions

1. In your ministry, where do you see sinners resisting the intolerable compliment of God’s love?
2. (Luther) What does it mean that the love of God creates pleasing people? Where does He do that?
3. (Chesterton) How do we answer the question, “Why does God love me?” In what sense does He love us without a reason?
4. (Lewis) Why would it be contradictory for a God who loves us to simply do nothing with us?

As a helpful exercise, list as many words as possible that are used to define love and discuss how each is insufficient to fully define the love of God (e.g., affection).

What then is sufficient to describe the love of God? Luther's
thesis above is the completion of his entire argument on being a theologian of the cross in the Heidelberg Disputation. Only through the cross can we know and speak the truth of God’s love — that intolerable compliment. Without the cross, all definitions of God’s love will lead to a false theology of glory.

In light of the fact that God’s love is unique, why is it significant that the writers of the New Testament chose to primarily use the word *agape*, a word not commonly used for love in Greek, instead of the usual *eros* or *philia*?

**SCRIPTURAL AND CONFESSIONAL UNDERSTANDING**

Through God’s Word, sinful man finds a new and greater definition of love and a new language of love. It centers on Jesus Christ and His cross, and this love involves the cross and suffering.

It might help to realize that philosophies of love, including those of Plato and Aristotle, generally involve an ascending or elevation of man’s love to the highest object of love through contemplation or reflection. The love of man (*eros* or *philia*) is a vehicle or ladder through which we may ascend to God. This limited and human concept of love continues to infiltrates theology. For example, Jacob’s ladder has been, and is still, seen by many as this ascension of man (Gen. 28:10). Gregory the Great uses Jacob’s ladder and Paul’s “ascension to Paradise” in 2 Cor. 12:2–3 to say:

“Lo, [Paul] is already initiated into heavenly secrets, and yet through the bowels of condescension he searches into the bed of the carnal; and the same eye of the heart which in his elevation he lifts to the invisible, he bends in his compassion upon the secrets of those who are subject to infirmity … being joined at once to the highest and to the lowest by the bond of charity … true preachers not only aspire in contemplation to the holy head of the Church, that is to the Lord, above, but also descend in commiseration downward to His members.”

Another example of this ascent of man’s love to God is seen in the idolatrous temple prostitution so prevalent in the Old Testament. St. Augustine summarizes this in his Confessions by saying that he was “in love with love.”

From the Apology, Article II:

“If human nature has such powers that by itself it can love God above all things, as the scholastics confidently assert, then what can original sin be? What need is there

for the grace of Christ if we can become righteous by our own righteousness? What need is there for the Holy Spirit if human powers by themselves can love God above all things and obey his commandments? … The scholastics mingled Christian doctrine with philosophical views about the perfection of nature and attributed more than was proper to free will and to ‘elicited acts.’”

Before looking at the scriptural record, discuss how their love is used by sinners as a ladder to ascend to God. Include in your discussion Koberle’s distinction of moralism, mysticism and speculation as the three attempts of man to ascend to God. How is the love of man used today in an attempt to reach God?

**Love Came Down**

1. John 1:9–14. When God’s love became flesh and blood in Jesus, how did the world and His own people receive His love? What specifically happened to those who did receive His love (v. 12–13)?

2. John 3:1–16. John 3:16 is the classic text for understanding God’s love. God loved the world (in this way): He gave His only Son. Yet we ought to keep that verse in context with Nicodemus. Did Nicodemus receive Him at first? What kind of love did Nicodemus want from Jesus? In contrast, the “intolerable” love of God required what for entrance into the kingdom?

From Luther’s Heidelberg Disputation: “He who has not been brought low, reduced to nothing through the cross and suffering, takes credit for works and wisdom and does not give credit to God. He thus misuses and defiles the gifts of God. It is this that Christ says in John 3, ‘You must be born anew.’ To

10 See Bryan Wolfmueller’s “Three Broken Ladders” at wolfmueller.wordpress.com/2011/02/03/three-broken-ladders
**God's Love and the Beloved of God**

The Scriptures and the Confessions teach that the love of God cannot be understood apart from faith in Christ and His cross and suffering. The love of God in Christ creates love of God in Christians.

**Apology, Article IV, 141–142:** “In fact, we add that it is impossible to separate faith from love for God, be it ever so small. For through Christ we come to the Father; and having received the forgiveness of sins, we become sure that we have a gracious God who cares about us, we call upon him, give thanks to him, fear and love him. So John teaches in his first epistle (4:19); ‘We love,’ he says, ‘because he first loved us,’ that is, because he gave his Son for us and forgave us our sins. So he indicates that faith precedes while love follows. The faith of which we are speaking, moreover, has its existence in penitence; that is, it is conceived in the terrors of a conscience that feels God's wrath against our sins and looks for forgiveness of sins and deliverance from sin. This faith ought to grow and be strengthened in these terrors and in other afflictions.”

According to this quote: From where do faith and love find their creation and existence?

**Apology, Article IV, 45–46:** “Therefore, when a man believes that his sins are forgiven because of Christ and that God is reconciled and favorably disposed to him because of Christ, this personal faith obtains the forgiveness of sins and justifies us . . . it regenerates us and brings us the Holy Spirit, so that we can finally obey God's law, love him, truly fear him, be sure that he hears us, and obey him in all afflictions. It mortifies our lust. By freely accepting the forgiveness of sins, faith sets against God's wrath not our merits of love, but Christ the mediator and propitiator. This faith is the true knowledge of Christ, it uses his blessings, it regenerates our hearts, it precedes our keeping of the law.”

**TEACHING AND PREACHING LOVE**

The temptation for pastors is to attempt to make the love of God in Christ appealing to the sinner by making it something that is “do-able” for the sinner. By doing so, our sinful hope is that He will become the object of man's love. Why is this backward, and where do you see this happening in the Church today?


   Why was the one sheep lost (Is. 53:6)? Who is rejoicing: the lost sheep or the Shepherd? How does the Shepherd bring the sheep back home? Who is the object of love first: the sheep or the Shepherd?

2. “God is love.” What does this mean? All sinners have their own idea. Forde answers from the theology of the cross: “Knowledge of God comes when God happens to us, when God does Himself to us.”

   Where does God do His love to us, and where and when is He doing that in the congregation(s) you serve?

   When you minister to those suffering, how do you teach and confess God's love to them (That "intolerable compliment" kind of love)?

---


12 Tappert, p. 113.

13 Ibid

In the normal routine of your life as a pastor, where and when is God doing His love to you?

3. Luther: “This is the love of the cross, born of the cross, which turns in the direction where it does not find good that it may enjoy, but where it may confer good upon the bad and needy person.”

How is love born of the cross for our neighbor an “intolerable compliment,” a “love without a reason,” and a “love that causes goodness”?

In the families and lives of those in your congregation(s), do you see a need for this virtue of love that turns to where it may confer some good and not just where it may enjoy good?

4. Peter tells us that “love covers a multitude of sins” (1 Peter 4:8b). Sinful nature often takes this to mean that love can be a “cover-up” for anything and everything. Secular society drills the Church for being “unloving.” Even within the Church, love is used as an excuse for ignoring sin.

Sasse: “This peace [of Christ] can be destroyed by lack of brotherly love, which again is a supernatural gift of the Holy Spirit. This love must not be confounded with what the world calls love, human sympathy and fellowship. The love of Christ can use also the sword (Matt 10:34). True Christian love — agape, caritas — does not shrink from speaking. No, [it does so] where it is necessary, while natural love — eros, amor — abhors everything which might cause uneasiness and, therefore, says yes, even to error and heresy. In the NT love and truthfulness belong inseparably together.”

How does the love of God in Christ actually cover sins?

DISCUSSION POINTS

1. When do you find the opportune time to teach the true nature of God’s love? Sermons? Bible Study? Confirmation? Pre-marital counseling? Private confession and absolution?

2. We’ve heard it said or even said ourselves that the key to the ministry is “love your people.” While this may be understood correctly, Jesus asks Peter a different question in John 21. How can we better explain the key to the ministry in terms of love?

3. Why do love and promises go together? How is love quite naturally a promise?

4. What temptations does the devil especially use against pastors to confuse the true nature of God’s love?

SUMMARY

The love of God isn’t simply a matter of kindness or admiration. It’s a matter of the cross. In the cross, we are created lovely to God through forgiveness. Through His love delivered in Word and Sacrament, we learn to love as He has loved us.

Inscribed upon the cross we see

In shining letters, “God is love.”

He bears our sins upon the tree;

He brings us mercy from above. (LSB 429:2)

15 Luther, p.57.
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We are constantly bombarded with bad news and depressing headlines in our sin-infected world. Stories of war, crime, natural disasters, tragic accidents, political disputes and economic hardships dominate the evening news. Although most newscasts try to end with a “feel-good” story, the proportion of bad news to good news is often lopsided. Consequently we may choose to avoid the newscasts altogether and settle instead for a re-run of “The Simpsons.”

This lopsided proportion of bad news to good news takes place in our spiritual lives as well. Personal conflicts, family tragedies and financial hardships weigh heavily on our minds. Guilt and shame linger and fester deep down in our hearts. Our consciences are disturbed by the news the Law written in our hearts brings to us and news heard by our ears and experienced in our lives.

How desperate we are for good news! This is what the Christian church (and only the Christian church), has to offer the world: the Good News of the Gospel. This Word that we use so frequently and take for granted so easily is actually packed with the richest blessings God has to offer the world.

How would you define the word “Gospel”?

SCRIPTURAL AND CONFESSIONAL UNDERSTANDING

1. In the Old Testament, the Hebrew word “BASAR” means “to convey good news.” It is used for general good news of political or military victory:

   1 Kings 1:42 “And Adonijah said, “Come in, for you are a worthy man and bring good news.”

It later takes on a more spiritual sense, describing the coming of the Lord to His people:

   Is. 52:7 “How beautiful upon the mountains are the feet of him who brings good news, who publishes peace, who brings good news of happiness, who publishes salvation, who says to Zion, “Your God reigns.”

   Is. 61:1 “The Spirit of the Lord God is upon me, because the Lord has anointed me to bring good news to the poor; he has sent me to bind up the brokenhearted, to proclaim liberty to the captives, and the opening of the prison to those who are bound.”


   The Greek word “euangelion” means “good news.” It is a combination of the prefix “eu” (good) and the noun “ange-los” (messenger). The noun “euangelion” (Gospel) and the verb “euangelizo” (to bring good news, to evangelize) occur 130 times in the Greek New Testament. St. Paul uses the noun “Gospel” most often in his letters (60 times).

3. According to the following verses, how would you define the “Gospel” in the wide sense?

   Matt. 26:13 [Jesus said] “Truly, I say to you, wherever this gospel is proclaimed in the whole world, what she has done will also be told in memory of her.”

   Mark 1:1 “The beginning of the gospel of Jesus Christ, the Son of God.”

4. Read the following texts and discuss how each defines the “Gospel” in the narrow sense?

   › Rom. 1:1–5
   › 1 Cor. 15:1–5

   According to these passages, what is the heart and soul of the Gospel message?

5. Look at Peter’s preaching in Acts 2–5. How did he include Law and Gospel in proclaiming Christ’s death and resurrection?

   The Gospel is the Good News that Jesus died on the cross for our sins and rose again for our justification (Rom. 4:25). Every single sin of every single sinner of every single second in history has been paid for completely by the sufferings of Christ in our place. It goes against all human logic and fairness, yet it is the only way we can have God’s righteousness; it has to be completely done for us and then distributed to us by God Himself. And so in the Gospel proclaimed (Word) and the Gospel applied (Sacraments), God the Holy Spirit gives what He promises: forgiveness of sins and a place in heaven, all as His free gift received by faith.

---

1 All Scripture quotations are from The Holy Bible, English Standard Version. Copyright 2001 by Crossway Bibles, a publishing ministry of Good News Publishers, Wheaton, Illinois.
The Bible is full of Gospel in both the Old and New Testaments. But it is more than just a general message of God's goodness and blessing; it is a specific promise of grace conveyed through the substitutional sacrifice of God's Son for our sins. Through His death and resurrection, God offers a lost world rescue and redemption simply by believing the message!

CONFESSIONAL USAGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE WORD

The Lutheran Confessions were written in defense of the Gospel, while they rarely define the word “Gospel.”

1. How do the following excerpts still serve well to defend the Gospel from the abuses of from within and without the Church yet today?

“The Gospel, however, is that doctrine which teaches what a man should believe in order to obtain the forgiveness of sins from God, since man has failed to keep the law of God and has transgressed it, his corrupted nature, thoughts, words, and deeds war against the law, and he is therefore subject to the wrath of God, to death, to temporal miseries, and to the punishment of hell-fire. The content of the Gospel is this, that the Son of God, Christ our Lord, himself assumed and bore the curse of the law and expiated and paid for all our sins, that through him alone we re-enter the good graces of God, obtain forgiveness of sins through faith, are freed from death and all the punishments of sin, and are saved eternally.” (FC SD, V, 20 T. G. Tappert, The Book of Concord, Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 2000, p. 561).

2. Consider what Robert D. Preus about FC SD, V, 20:

“This statement may well be considered one of the most important and formative statements in our Lutheran Confessions. Why? Because it is the most complete and beautiful definition of the Gospel to be found in them. And that is what our Confessions are all about – the Gospel! Our great 24 Lutheran Confessions were written for the sake of the Gospel. The Augsburg Confession, Luther’s catechisms, the Formula of Concord were not written just to blast or correct abuses in the Roman Church, or to defend Lutheran theology against the attacks of papists, or to perpetuate party spirit. These Confessions were all prompted by a faith in the Gospel, a love for it, and a determination to teach and confess it according to the Scriptures.” (Robert D. Preus, Getting into The Theology of Concord, St. Louis: CPH, 1977, pgs. 24–25.)

3. Immediately after the chief article on justification, the Augsburg Confession in Article V states:

“To obtain such faith God instituted the office of the ministry, that is, provided the Gospel and the Sacraments. Through these, as through means, He gives the Holy Spirit, Who works faith, when and where He pleases, in those who hear the Gospel. And the Gospel teaches that we have a gracious God, not by our own merits but by the merit of Christ, when we believe this.” (AC, V, The Office of The Ministry, T. G. Tappert, The Book of Concord, Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 2000, p. 31).

4. Consider the Smalcald Article’s brief article “The Gospel:”

“We shall now return to the Gospel, which offers counsel and help against sin in more than one way, for God is surpassingly rich in His grace: First, through the spoken word, by which the forgiveness of sin (the peculiar function of the Gospel) is preached to the whole world; secondly, through Baptism; third, through the holy Sacrament of the Altar; fourth, through the power of the keys; and finally, through the mutual conversation and consolation of brethren. Matt. 18:20: ‘Where two or three are gathered together,’ etc.” (SA, part III, article IV, T. G. Tappert, The Book of Concord, Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 2000, p. 310).

5. This distinction between Law and Gospel was a breakthrough teaching for Lutherans, and the discussion of their roles provides the context for the word “Gospel” to be defined in the Confessions. Consider again in the Formula of Concord:

“But the Gospel, strictly speaking, is the kind of doctrine that teaches what a man who has not kept the law and is condemned by it should believe, namely, that Christ has satisfied and paid for all guilt and without man’s merit
has obtained and won for him forgiveness of sins, the ‘righteousness that avails before God,’ (Rom. 1:17, II Cor. 5:21), and eternal life.” (FC, Epitome V, “Law and Gospel”, T. G. Tappert, The Book of Concord, Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 2000, p. 478)

TEACHING/PREACHING USAGE OF THE WORD

This distinction between Law and Gospel remains a peculiar message and strength of the Evangelical Lutheran Church. We hold on strongly to this precious “evangelical” label, even though the “evangelical movement” around us threatens to re-define and take this good word from us.

1. Discuss how the various ways that message of the Gospel is shifted from the pure saving work of Christ to the work/experience of man?

2. What drives this shift for believers?

3. Having a strong heritage and claim upon the preaching of Law and Gospel, are Lutherans as susceptible to shifting our focus away from Christ’s righteousness and onto our own?

4. What may inhibit pastors from preaching the Gospel clearly and consistently in their congregations?

Preaching about the Gospel is not preaching the Gospel. Mentioning “the Gospel” without mentioning Christ, assuming people know what He did and why He did it, doesn’t forgive sins or build up faith. Like a well-trained doctor, Lutheran pastors are equipped to apply the specific soul-soothing salve of Gospel to the sin-infected heart that has been cut open with equally skillful use of the Law scalpel.

Consider the following gifts of the Gospel that the Holy Spirit provide through the Gospel message?

› PEACE: The Gospel eases our sin-burdened consciences and lets us rest in confidence that our salvation is sure. It is not in our hands to accomplish, but is done already, 100 percent completed, by Christ on the cross.

Through Luther’s “tower experience,” we gain insight and understanding that God’s righteousness is not something we have to measure up to ourselves, but rather is accomplished by Christ and given to us by faith. Of this realization Luther writes:

“Then I grasped that the justice of God is that righteousness by which through grace and sheer mercy God justifies us through faith. Thereupon I felt myself to be reborn and to have gone through open doors into paradise. The whole of Scripture took on a new meaning, and whereas before the “justice of God” had filled me with hate, now it became to me inexpressibly sweet in greater love. This passage of Paul became to me a gate to heaven.” (Roland Bainton, Here I Stand, Nashville: Abingdon, 1980, p. 49–50)

› PAYMENT: The Gospel also assures us that full payment has been made for our sins. Christ has redeemed us, paid for our salvation in full, proclaimed “tetelestai!” (“It is finished!”) Our ransom is paid in full.

› POWER: The Gospel is more than a message of historical information. The Gospel actually conveys God’s power. It has the power to create faith and give salvation to those who receive it.

Rom. 1:16 “For I am not ashamed of the gospel, for it is the power of God for salvation to everyone who believes.”

1 Thess. 1:5 “Our gospel came to you not only in word, but also in power and in the Holy Spirit and with full conviction.”

Heb. 4:12 “For the word of God is living and active, sharper than any two-edged sword, piercing to the division of soul and of spirit, of joints and of marrow, and discerning the thoughts and intentions of the heart.”

This Gospel Word is also powerful to bring forth the fruits of faith in our lives. Through the Gospel, the Holy Spirit causes our faith to grow and change our hearts and lives.

Col. 1:5–6 “Of this you have heard before in the word of the truth, the gospel, which has come to you, as indeed in the whole world it is bearing fruit and increasing — as it also does among you, since the day you heard it and understood the grace of God in truth;”
1 Thess. 2:13 "And we also thank God constantly for this, that when you received the word of God, which you heard from us, you accepted it not as the word of men, but as what it really is, the word of God, which is at work in you believers."

**DISCUSSION**

1. Why is it that so many biblical verses of Law (i.e. “Submitting to one another out of reverence for Christ” [Eph. 5:21]; “This is the message that you have heard from the beginning, that we should love one another” [1 John 3:11]), which speak of a good outcome or virtue, are often referred to as Gospel?

2. What are some other names for the Gospel in Scripture?

3. How can you more effectively preach the Law so people are eager and hungry to hear the Gospel?

4. How can you more effectively preach the Gospel, so people understand and appreciate this priceless gift?

5. What are your favorite “Gospel in a nut-shell” verses?
   
   “Look, the Lamb of God, who takes away the sin of the world!” (John 1:29).

**SUMMARY**

To the Christian, the Gospel is our lifeline! It is the good news of God’s salvation, the cause of our faith, the source of our peace, the promise of God’s payment for our sins and the power to help us live for Christ. It is the only cure available for the bad news of sin, death and hell that are otherwise inevitable for us. The Christian, especially the Christian pastor, should never be ashamed of the Gospel nor hesitant to speak it to anyone crying out (usually silently), “What must I do to be saved?” The Rev. Dr. C. F. W. Walther’s last thesis in *The Proper Distinction Between Law and Gospel* (St. Louis: CPH 1986, p. 4) states: “The Word of God is not rightly divided when the person teaching it does not allow the Gospel to have a general predominance in his teaching.”

The task is not easy. The world is blinded to the importance of the gospel by Satan, who wants to keep us enslaved in death and darkness forever. One of Martin Luther’s greatest frustrations was that after the Gospel was brought back to the Church and preached to the people, it seemed they soon grew tired of hearing it, and like the Children of Israel rescued from Egypt, they wanted to go back to slavery. Satan does not give up his prey easily. And his lies continue to tempt people to come back under his slavery. The battle is never over; the task of preaching Law and Gospel is never done. We face might obstacles: Satan, the selfish, stubborn hearts of men and our own frustrations and feelings of futility. But let Luther encourage you:

“*I will learn and teach this [Gospel] as long as I live. It shall be diligently presented in my sermons, for I see well enough what it does where it is present and what harm is caused when it is absent.*” (E. M. Plass, What Luther Says, St. Louis: CPH, 1959, p. 564).
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FOCUS

“Propitiation” is a relatively rare word in the New Testament. It only occurs twice as a noun (1 John 2:2; 4:10) and twice as a verb (Luke 18:13; Heb. 2:17), along with one other occasion when a different word is used that is often interpreted to mean propitiation (Rom. 3:25). However, its conceptual importance for Scripture as a whole far outstrips the frequency with which it appears. This truth is confirmed by the manner in which the Lutheran Confessions discuss it.

It is also a word that is often unknown or unfamiliar to our congregation members. It is not a term that they encounter often in everyday life. The familiarity they do have with it may include ideas that appear to contradict the Gospel.

The leader may initiate a brief discussion about how pastors think the word is understood by their congregation members.

This study will emphasize the importance of the word “propitiation” for understanding Scripture as a whole and consider how its importance goes beyond the handful of verses where it occurs in the New Testament. In the course of doing so, we will see that “propitiation” highlights two truths that are central to understanding the Gospel: (1) The wrath of God against sin, and (2) The exclusive and comprehensive sufficiency of Christ’s sacrifice for our sin.

SCRIPTURAL USAGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF PROPITIATION

Basic considerations

Webster’s dictionary defines “propitiate” as “to make favorably inclined; appease; conciliate.” It describes the act of gaining a favorable disposition from another, usually when something has been done against him or her that threatens this disposition.

1. Based on this definition, in whose direction does propitiation move and who is doing the action when dealing with God?

Propitiation assumes that something has happened that threatens God’s favorable disposition toward us. Normally this is some wrong that an individual has done. This wrong must be addressed in an appropriate manner so that God will be propitiated. This action is normally designated using the word “expiate.” Webster’s dictionary defines “expiate” as “to atone for; make amends or reparation for” where “atone” is “to make amends or reparation, as for an offense or crime.”

2. What problems of vocabulary arise when we begin talking about propitiation?

Hebrew Old Testament

The Old Testament basis for understanding propitiation is the Hebrew root kpr. It is used in the Piel to mean “atone.” This verb is used in conjunction with sin (using min and ‘al), people (using ‘al and ba’ad) and things (using ‘al).

1. Lev. 4:26; 16:32–33 In these verses, we see atonement addressed to sin, people and things. What does this tell us about the Old Testament’s understanding of sin and the answer needed for it?

2. Ps. 5:4–6 What is the consequence of sin for the individual as he stands in relation to God? What is necessary to change this situation?

God provided the sacrifices that took place at the tabernacle and then the temple as the means of providing atonement to Israel. Through these sacrifices, He removed the sin that was blocking fellowship with God. The three kinds of sacrifice that involved the death of an animal were the whole burnt offering (olah) (Lev. 1:1–17), the sin offering (khata’ah) (Lev. 5:1–13) and the guilt offering (asham) (Lev. 5:14–6:7). They are all described using the verb kpr in the Piel.

3. Lev. 1:4 In each of these animal sacrifices, the individual bringing the animal was directed to place his hand on the head of the animal to be sacrificed. What did this action mean?

---

1 Webster’s Encyclopedic Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language (New York: Portland House, 1989), 1153.
2 Webster’s 502; 95.
4. Lev. 17:11 How does this verse explain the substitutionary character of the animal sacrifice?

5. Lev. 4:20 (see also 4:26, 31, 35) What was the outcome of sacrifice and the atonement it accomplished?

The Old Testament sacrifices expiated sin. The life of the animal was given in place of the individual who had sinned as a death occurred in punishment for sin. This averted God's wrath and propitiated God. It caused God again to have a favorable disposition toward the individual. Language of propitiating the gods was common in Near Eastern religion as man sought to win the favor of his gods through sacrifices.

6. What made the Old Testament's sacrifices different from those of paganism?

The word *kipurim* provided the noun that expressed this idea (such as “day of atonement” in Lev. 23:27). The term *kaporeth*, “mercy seat,” was used to refer to the cover of the Ark of the Covenant (Ex. 25:17–18).

7. Lev. 16:11–16 What role did the mercy seat (*kaporeth*) have in maintaining Israel's relationship with Yahweh?

### Septuagint (LXX)

In the vast majority of instances, the LXX used *echilaskomai* to translate the Piel of *kpr*, and it conveys the same basic meaning. The noun *echilasmos* is used to translate “atonement,” “to make a sin offering” and “sin offering.” The verb *ilaskomai* occurs much less frequently. It translates “forgive” and the Piel of *kpr*. The noun *ilasmos* is used to translate “atonement,” “sin offering,” “guilt offering” and “forgiveness.” With the exception of three verses in Ezekiel, every instance of “mercy seat” (*kaporeth*) is translated by *ilasterion*.

Based on this evidence, what shift do we find in the way *echilasmos* and *ilasmos* are used to translate the Hebrew?

---

4 *echilasmos* for “atonement” (*kipurim*; Ex. 30:10; Lev. 23:27–28), “mercy seat” (*kapareth*; 1 Chron. 28:11), “to make a sin offering” (Piel of *klrt*; Ezek. 43:23) and “sin offering” (*khata’tah*; Ezek. 43:23); *ilaskomai* on five occasions translates “forgive” (*slkh*; 4 Kgdm 5:18; 24:4; 2 Chron. 6:30; Ps. 24:11; Lam. 3:42) and three times translates the Piel of *kpr* (Ps. 64:4; 77:38; 78:9). *ilasmos* for “atonement” (*kipurim*; Lev. 25:9; Num. 5:8), “sin offering” (*khata’tah*; Ezek. 44:27), “guilt offering” (*'asham*; Am. 8:14) and “forgiveness” (*silikcah*; Ps. 130:4).
CONFESSIONAL USAGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF PROPITIATION

1. “Thus because faith, which freely receives the forgiveness of sins, sets against the wrath of God Christ as the mediator and propitiator, it does not offer up our merits or love” (Apology 4.46).5

What presupposition do the Confessions hold about the sinner’s situation before God (coram Deo)?

2. “Therefore whenever we speak about justifying faith, we must understand that these three elements belong together: the promise itself; the fact that the promise is free; and the merits of Christ as the payment and atoning sacrifice (pretium et propitiationem). The promise is received by faith; the word “free” excludes our merits and means that the blessing is offered only through mercy; the merits of Christ are the payment (pretium) because there must be some definite atoning sacrifice for our sins (certam propitiationem)” (Apology 4.53).6

According to the Confessions, what is necessary in order to avert the wrath of God from the sinner and receive forgiveness and salvation? To what does this stand in contrast?

3. “But wherever works are mentioned, the opponents attach their own ungodly opinions: that we merit the forgiveness of sins through good works; that good works are the atoning sacrifice and payment (propitiation ac pretium) on account of which God is reconciled to us; that good works conquer the terrors of sin and death, that good works are acceptable in God's sight on account of their own intrinsic goodness; and they neither need mercy nor Christ as the propitiator” (Apology 4.244).7

How did the opponents of the confessors think they were able stand before God? Did they reject the death and resurrection of Jesus Christ? What does this tell us?

4. “We are debating about an important matter, namely, about the honor of Christ and the source from which the faithful might seek a sure and certain consolation — whether we place our confidence in Christ or in our own works. But if we put it in our works, Christ will be robbed of his honor as our mediator and propitiator. And, faced with God’s judgment, we will discover that such confidence was futile, and consciences will then plunge into despair” (Apology 4.156-157).8

 According to the Confessions, what two issues are at stake when we consider the word “propitiation”?

TEACHING AND PREACHING PROPITIATION

1. Consider the following sets of words: (1) holy, just, wrathful and (2) loving, gracious, merciful. Which set is emphasized in our world today? What will our teaching and preaching need to do with these two sets in order to help congregation members understand propitiation?

2. Propitiation involves averting God's wrath and causing God to be favorable. The idea of changing God's disposition is sometimes described as being contrary to Christi-anity. What explanation must be provided?

3. The New Testament’s teaching about propitiation assumes what the Old Testament says about the sacrifices of the tabernacle/temple. What challenge does this present to us today? How can we address this?

---

1. Christians often say, “God hates sin but loves the sinner.” Based on Scripture’s teaching about propitiation, how would you respond to this?

2. When talking about propitiation, the confessors responded to the fact that their opponents, while believing in Christ’s death, included their own efforts in obtaining salvation. Discuss the following statement: In a different way, American evangelicalism does the same thing as the Roman Catholic Church by including human effort in the process by which we are saved.

3. The Lutheran Confessions repeatedly describe Christ as “mediator and propitiator” (Apology 4.46, 81, 387; 12.43, 76; 24.57). What is the relationship between these descriptions? How can these descriptions be used to bring comfort to Christians?
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FOCUS ON FORGIVENESS

What is forgiveness? What does it mean, and what does it do? It is foundational and central to our Christian faith and life, both before God and in relation to our neighbor. It’s also one of the few theological terms that gets used routinely in everyday life. It even surfaces in pop culture, no doubt because it is simply impossible to navigate life in the world without some means of dealing with offenses, getting over hurts and insults, and bearing the burdens of daily aggravations. Relationships depend on some manner of forgiveness or else they fall apart. Yet it may be that forgiveness has such a common place in our discourse that we take it for granted without really considering what it is and does. Defining the term is challenging because it seems self-evident, even though we also recognize how difficult it is to practice forgiveness or to live without it.

In looking at forgiveness as it is revealed in the Holy Scriptures and taught by the Lutheran Confessions, we learn forgiveness from the Lord who loves us and forgives us. He is the author of forgiveness. It is in love and mercy that He deals with us poor sinners at His own expense, not counting our trespasses against us, but reconciling us to Himself in Christ Jesus. He repairs the brokenness in our relationship with Him and with each other, and He brings us into His own divine life in faith and love. He obtains this forgiveness by the sacrifice of the Son of God upon the cross and by His resurrection from the dead. He bestows this forgiveness upon us in His Church on earth by the ministry of His Gospel. So do we also learn from Him to forgive one another as He daily and richly forgives us for Jesus’ sake.

It is clear, in considering this Gospel of Christ Jesus, that such genuine forgiveness of sins offers a significant contrast to the world’s concept and use of the term. In the forgiveness of the Gospel, we are not dealing with something done for the sake of self, nor with bargains, conditions, excuses, flattery or manipulative maneuvers, but with the atonement, redemption and reconciliation that Christ our Lord has accomplished for us and all the world by His divine grace without any merit or worthiness on the part of the sinners whom He saves. What He alone has achieved for us and gives to us by His Word of the Gospel, we receive solely by repentant faith in Christ Jesus.

THE REVELATION OF FORGIVENESS IN THE HOLY SCRIPTURES

The basic terminology of forgiveness (šlḥ and ṭṣ’ in Hebrew, αφιέναι and αφέσις in Greek) is used significantly, but not frequently, in the Holy Scriptures. Classical usage of the Greek terms identifies the remission of financial debt or release from some legal obligation. This background informs a theological understanding and usage of the same terms for the removal of sin: to cover the debt of faith, love and obedience owed the Lord and to set free from the bondage of death. But the terms must be interpreted by the way they are used by the Lord in speaking to His people, and other terms must also be considered, which convey His forgiveness in a variety of ways.

Psalm 85:1–7 and Col. 2:13–14 are particularly rich in describing the forgiveness of sins. According to these two passages, what does forgiveness entail, both positively and negatively?

Consider the following passages as well, and identify some of the other terms and images that are used in the Holy Scriptures to explain and extol the Lord’s forgiveness of sins:

Is. 43:25; 44:21–23; 55:6–7; Jer. 31:34; 33:4–9; Ps. 25:7; 41:4; 51:1–13; 78:38; 79:8–9

In the Septuagint (LXX), αφέσις (forgiveness) is used for the release and liberty of the Jubilee (Leviticus 25). This is noteworthy in view of our Lord’s preaching at the synagogue of Nazareth (Luke 4:16–21). He there identifies Himself and His Word as the fulfillment of the Jubilee, “the favorable year of the Lord” (Isa. 61:1–3 and Jer. 34:8–17). He releases the people from bondage and restores them to the place the Lord appointed for them.

How might Luke 15 be understood as a paradigm for the Lord’s forgiveness of His people?

In order to understand forgiveness, it is necessary to know that it originates with the Lord, not by any necessity or compulsion, but out of the divine goodness of His being. It is His nature always to have mercy on account of the Father’s eternal love for His Son, and the Son for His Father.
in the Holy Spirit. The Lord creates all things for the sake of this love, and so does He also forgive His fallen creatures for the sake of His own divine and holy love (1 John 4:7–10).

A pivotal word of the Lord identifying His essential character as a compassionate and forgiving God is given to Moses at Mt. Sinai, following the apostasy of Israel with the golden calf. Study Ex. 34:5–9.

As Moses, Daniel and the Levites prayed and interceded for Israel on the basis of God’s mercy, so then do His people confess His name and praise Him for the mercy and forgiveness He has shown. For example, see Micah 7:18–19 and Ps. 103:2–13.

The same Lord God reveals Himself in mercy in the incarnation, cross and resurrection of the Father’s beloved Son. Take note of Rom. 1:16–17; 3:21–26; Titus 3:4–7; and 1 Peter 1:17–21.

Forgiveness originates with God and flows from His mercy and love. But it is not accomplished by a simple act of His will. He repairs the ruptured relationship between Himself and man from the inside-out. He enters into the brokenness in order to establish reconciliation, faith and love. There is a putting to death of sin with its underlying causes (unbelief) and its consequences (death and damnation). There is the sacrifice of God Himself, whereby He opens up the way of repentance and faith for His people. There is the absolute trust of the Son in His Father and the glorification of the Son by the Father, that the world might be reconciled to God in Christ Jesus.

In preparation for the coming of the Christ, the Lord catechized His people through sacrifices of atonement as the means of His forgiveness (Lev. 16:2–22, 29–34; Is. 53:4–6, 10–12). As the blood of bulls, goats and lambs was shed for the sons of Israel, so has the blood of Christ, the Son of God, been shed upon the cross for the atonement and redemption of the whole world. He has taken upon Himself the sins of all people and borne them away in His own sacrificial death (John 1:29; 1 Peter 2:21–24; 1 John 2:1–2, 12; Heb. 9:11–22).

The fact that His cross has obtained the forgiveness of sins is confirmed and manifested in His resurrection from the dead in which the Father vindicates and glorifies His Son on our behalf. Therefore, His cross and resurrection are proclaimed together as the Gospel of our salvation (Acts 5:30–31; 10:38–43; 13:29–39; 1 Cor. 15:3–5, 13–20; Rom. 4:25).

In the Old Testament, the Lord instituted various rites and ceremonies of sacrifice as the means of grace and forgiveness for His people (Lev. 4:1–7). He also caused His name and His glory to dwell in the temple as the locus of His forgiveness (1 Kings 8:27–53; Is. 6:5–7).

The priestly ministry of the Old Testament pointed forward to the coming of the Christ, who is our merciful and great High Priest in all things pertaining to God (Heb. 2:17). He has also provided new ways and means of grace and forgiveness in the ministry of His Gospel by which He now distributes and bestows the fruits and benefits of His cross and resurrection. Examine the following passages with a view toward the centrality of forgiveness in the pastoral ministry: Luke 1:76–79; 24:46–47; 2 Cor. 5:18–21; Matt. 18:12–20; 26:27–28.

As the forgiveness of sins is freely distributed by grace alone, for Jesus’ sake, so is it freely received in repentance and faith. It is not that God’s forgiveness is contingent upon a human response but that His reconciliation of the world in Christ Jesus can only be embraced by faith. He does not compel us with brute force, but He woos us to Himself in love through the Gospel that we might be turned away from our sin and death to fear, love and trust in Him as our God.

Identify the way that repentant faith receives the forgiveness of sins in the following passages: Ps. 32:1–7; Rom. 4:1–9; Mark 1:14–15; Acts 2:37–40; Luke 18:9–14; 1 John 1:5–10.

Forgiveness is not just the setting aside of anger and bitterness. Nor is it simply a release from legal obligation and punishment. It also entails reconciliation and the restoration of fellowship. As God has reconciled the world to Himself in Christ Jesus (2 Cor. 5:18–19), so are His Christians reconciled to one another through faith in His forgiveness of sins. How do the Holy Scriptures describe the new relationship of peace that we now have with God and each other?

THE FORGIVENESS OF SINS IN THE LUTHERAN CONFESSIONS

In the Lutheran Confessions, the forgiveness of sins is a prominent way of defining the Gospel, and it is often equated with justification, redemption and reconciliation with God. In this case, the full and proper sense of forgiveness is gathered from the contexts in which it is used.

In considering “the righteousness of faith before God,” the Formula of Concord confesses that, “According to the usage of Scripture, the word 'justify' means in this article 'absolve,' that is, pronounce free from sin” (FC Epitome III.7).\(^1\) What does this mean for us?

Note the same identification of our righteousness before God and His forgiveness of our sins in the Augsburg Confession: “We believe that Christ suffered for us and that for His sake our sin is forgiven and righteousness and eternal life are given to us” (AC IV.2 [German]).\(^2\)

Between the sacrifice of Christ and the faith that relies upon His sacrifice for righteousness, the Lutheran Confessions stress the ministry of the Gospel by which the forgiveness of the cross is distributed throughout the world and bestowed upon the Church.

Further we believe that in this Christian church we have the forgiveness of sins, which is granted through the holy sacraments and absolution as well as through all the comforting words of the entire Gospel. Toward forgiveness is directed everything that is to be preached concerning the sacraments and, in short, the entire Gospel and all the duties of Christianity. Forgiveness is needed constantly, for although God's grace has been won by Christ, and holiness has been wrought by the Holy Spirit through God's Word in the unity of the Christian church, yet because we are encumbered with our flesh we are never without sin.

Therefore everything in the Christian church is so ordered that we may daily obtain full forgiveness of sins through the Word and through signs appointed to comfort and revive our consciences as long as we live. Although we have sin, the Holy Spirit sees to it that it does not harm us because we are in the Christian church, where there is full forgiveness of sin. God forgives us, and we forgive, bear with, and aid one another. …

All this, then, is the office and work of the Holy Spirit, to begin and daily to increase holiness on earth through these two means, the Christian church and the forgiveness of sins. Then, when we pass from this life, he will instantly perfect our holiness and will eternally preserve us in it by means of the last two parts of this article. (Large Catechism)\(^3\)

What does Luther identify as the Church's purpose?

In contrast to all manner of legalism and the righteousness of works, the Lutheran Confessions emphasize that we receive the forgiveness of sins and are justified through faith in the Gospel:

“Faith is the only means and instrument whereby we accept Christ and in Christ obtain the 'righteousness which avails before God.'” However, “This faith is not a mere knowledge of the stories about Christ, but the kind of gift of God by which in the Word of the Gospel we recognize Christ aright as our Redeemer and trust in Him, so that solely because of His obedience, by grace, we have forgiveness of sins, are regarded as holy and righteous by God the Father, and shall be saved eternally” (Formula of Concord Epitome III.5, 6)\(^4\); Tappert, p. 473).

Because the faith by which we are justified is a lively trust in the Lord's forgiveness of our sins, it also brings forth a new life in Christ: “Good works follow such faith, renewal, and forgiveness. Whatever is still sinful or imperfect in these works will not be reckoned as sin or defect for the sake of the same Christ. The whole man, in respect both of his person and of his works, shall be accounted and shall be righteous and holy through the pure grace and mercy which have been poured out upon us so abundantly in Christ” (Smalcald Articles III.XIII.2).\(^5\)

---

\(^1\) Ibid., 173.
\(^2\) Ibid., 30.
\(^3\) Ibid., 417–418.
\(^4\) Ibid., 473.
\(^5\) Ibid., 315.
THE TEACHING AND PREACHING OF FORGIVENESS

At the time of the Reformation, people were seeking forgiveness in meritorious works, human traditions, the intercession of the saints, relics, indulgences and the sacrifice of the Mass (see Smalcald Articles II.II.1–8, 18–24 and Apology XV.4–5).  

Where and how do people seek forgiveness in our day? How do they perceive and practice it? Misunderstandings of forgiveness may create an expectation that Christians will simply wink at sin, excuse it or ignore it. However, the Gospel-forgiveness of Christ takes sin seriously. For this reason, the preaching of repentance precedes and accompanies the preaching of forgiveness. When forgiveness is viewed as a negotiation or rationalization or as cutting slack, there will be a line in the sand at some point beyond which even Christians will be tempted to think or say, “I just cannot find it in my heart to forgive so-and-so.” When it is understood that forgiveness is never earned or deserved on the part of any sinner, but that it flows freely and fully from the heart of God the Father in our Lord Jesus Christ, then it is extended objectively and unconditionally. 

The exercise of mutual repentance and forgiveness among Christians, as well as the Christian forgiveness of others, rests upon this forgiveness of God in Christ Jesus. As the Lord our God gives Himself to and for us in mercy to rescue us from sin and to reconcile us to Himself, so do we learn from Him to give ourselves to and for our neighbor, even to those who sin against us, in Jesus’ name and for His sake. Consider the examples of our Lord Himself (Luke 23:34) and of His servant, St. Stephen (Acts 7:60), who prayed for the forgiveness of those who killed him. The objective certainty of our forgiveness and of our righteousness before the Lord our God is permanently established in the cross and resurrection of Christ Jesus. It is just as surely given to us and made our own through the ministry of the Gospel. In particular, how do Holy Baptism and the Holy Communion convey the forgiveness and righteousness of Christ to His Christians?  

QUESTIONS TO CONSIDER AND DISCUSS

Discuss the familiar saying, “To err is human; to forgive is divine” with respect to the Christian life (Luke 6:27–38). As our life depends upon God’s forgiveness of our sins, so do we share in His divine life and participate in His giving of life to others by forgiving their sins against us. What about forgiveness in cases of abuse? What will that look like? How do we understand the free and full forgiveness of sins alongside the continued suffering of temporal consequences? What about punishment for crimes? Consider the case of King David, especially 2 Sam. 12:13–14 and 24:10–25, in light of Apology XII.161.  

The Lutheran Confessions identify forgiveness as the particular work and office of the Gospel and as the organizing principle and purpose of the Church (see Smalcald Articles III.IV; Large Catechism II.55).  

› What implications does that suggest for the way that we approach, think about and carry out the ministry of the Gospel?  
› What is our definitive office and work as pastors?  
› How will that shape our preaching, our practice of confession and absolution, our pastoral care and catechesis of the Church and our daily and weekly schedule?

---

6 Ibid., 293–296, 215.  
7 Tappert: (pg. 208).  
8 Tappert: (pgs. 310; 418).
SUMMARY

From His great fatherly heart of love, with divine compassion and steadfast love, the Lord has redeemed us from the bondage and consequences of our sins. He does not count them against us, but takes them upon Himself and bears them in the body of the incarnate Son, Christ Jesus. His death has broken the hold of death upon us, and His resurrection has become our righteousness. Therefore, we are no longer estranged from God and at enmity with Him, but we are reconciled to the Father in His beloved and well-pleasing Son. By the ministry of this Gospel, He calls us into this reconciliation through His preaching of forgiveness. As we thereby have peace and rest with Him through faith in His Gospel and life and salvation forever in Christ Jesus, so are we at peace with one another in His Church. Insofar as it depends on us, we live in peace with all our neighbors through the exercise of His forgiveness for those who trespass against us.
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FOCUS ON SALVATION

“And Moses said to the people, ‘Fear not, stand firm, and see the salvation of the LORD, which he will work for you today’” (Ex. 14:13). Here lies our focus: “the salvation of the Lord.” We seek to foster not simply salvation talk, but to see, celebrate and proclaim the Lord’s work of salvation. May the Spirit of the Lord open our eyes to see the Lord’s work of salvation by which we “Fear not” and “Stand firm.”

THE PROBLEM:
#ICYMI (In Case You Missed It): Several news services reported just one more sign-of-the-times that seems less than promising. The good folks at Oxford Dictionaries (you know, the “language matters” folks) have now published their list of 2014 additions. These additions include, among others, adorbs, binge-watch, hate-watch, smartwatch, humblebrag, hench, aquihire, #YOLO and vape. To such a list, I simply #SMH (Shake My Head) at the rise of both trivial language and hashtag shorthand.

In his book, Digital Discourse, author Crispin Thurlow offers a helpful name for this phenomenon — banal globalization. The language born of juvenile culture and communication shorthand is being adopted as culturally normative. Put another way, those things that are trite and vapid (or banal) are concretized and universalized (and, therefore, globalized). We do well to make note of such things in language. But we also note what appears to be another trend at work in language. It is related, but inversely so, and it is a trend to which we as ministers of the Gospel do well to pay attention. It is this; much of the language that was once concrete has become culturally threadbare and empty. This includes much of the language of the Christian faith.

Take, for instance, faith itself. It was once clearly known that faith has an object. Such knowledge shaped how we thought, talked and even wrote about faith. Yet in recent years it is more and more common to hear faith talk employed in language in ways that suggest that it need not have an object. It is its own commodity. A local radio station, for instance, has a 30-second commercial for Faith Hospice in which they pitch their services for “people of faith.” Okay, but faith in what?

Salvation, the topic of this study, also suffers linguistic and theological loss at this time. It is easily recognized as religious language. Christians instantly recognize it and are often more comfortable with the language of soteriology than they are with any number of other Christian doctrines, including ecclesiology and eschatology. Yet when asked where in the Scriptures the exact topic of salvation is explicitly taken up, many will have no response. Some may be able to recall that salvation is a frequent topic in the Psalms, yet the same folks will rarely be able to think of more. Most know it is centered on Jesus, but are unsure which portions of the Word expound this. In short, even when it comes to such a comfortable word as salvation and salvation language, there is much to be discovered, recovered and confessed.

QUESTIONS:

1. Have you witnessed the loss of language in the lives of those to whom you minister? Name specific examples.

2. As you exegete not only the word, but also the communities in which you live, what force and weight, or what use and utility, is given to salvation?

3. What might your own people be capable of confessing concerning the word salvation?

4. If you were asked today what the Scriptures (or even the Confessions) have to say about salvation, what answer would you give?
SCRIPTURAL USAGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE WORD

OLD TESTAMENT STUDIES
While salvation is clearly God’s concern throughout the Scriptures, still we find in the Old Testament that the specific language of salvation (זָכָר and its cognates) resides most heavily in the Psalms (78 instances) and in Isaiah (28 instances). Together, Isaiah and the Psalms comprise 83 percent of all OT usage of salvation.

In each case, it is the assertion of this author that the thick language of rescue and salvation in all of Scripture gives rise to a three-fold confession of salvation.

› The (1) rescuer affects (2) a rescue and leads man into (3) a state of being rescued.

› Or there is a (1) savior who (2) by a saving act ushers man into (3) the state (or estate) of salvation.

The majority of what follows in this study will test this claim in both scriptural usage and the Lutheran Confessions.

1. Read Ps. 18:1–12. How does the suggested three-fold confession of salvation arise in these verses?

2. Read Isaiah 12. How do even these short 6 verses demonstrate a robust confession of salvation?

NEW TESTAMENT STUDIES
We shift our gaze to the New Testament. We find here that such a robust view of salvation is not only upheld, but is absolutely centered on the person and work of Christ. Furthermore, we find not only significant usage of salvation (σωτηρία), but we also find an eagerness to lay that salvation at the feet of Christ the Savior (σωτήρ). However, we also find a third thing. Matthew never once mentions salvation or Jesus as Savior, yet he decisively points to Jesus as the one who will save (σῴζω) while also pointing attention to the name by which this saving one will be named.

REMINDER: The threefold confession of salvation outlines (1) savior who (2) by a saving act ushers man into (3) the state (or estate) of salvation.

1. Read Matt. 1:18–23. How is the threefold confession of salvation highlighted here? While we focus on the action of Jesus who will save (σώσει), how does the name Jesus also tie into our study of salvation?

2. In Luke’s opening chapter, we hear the prophetic words of Zechariah, whose mouth has just been opened at the birth and naming of his son John who is to be the forerunner of the Messiah. Read Luke 1:67–79. How is salvation robustly confessed here?

3. We are served by a Pauline example also. Read Eph. 5:26–33. Though we may not think of this as a primary text when studying salvation, we do well to note that Christ our Savior is explicitly mentioned here. How does this text also serve as a wonderful example of a robust confession of salvation?
CONFESSIONAL USAGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE WORD

**Salvation** in Christ is at the very heart of our Lutheran Confessions. From the Apology of the Augsburg Confession to the Solid Declaration, we are given a great deal, at great depths, to know, rejoice in and confess. Yet Dr. Luther also put all of these riches on the lips of fathers, mothers and children. In his explanation to the Second Article of the Creed, Luther leads us to confess:

> I believe that Jesus Christ, true God, begotten of the Father from eternity, and also true man, born of the Virgin Mary, is my Lord, who has redeemed me, a lost and condemned person, purchased and won me from all sins, from death, and from the power of the devil; not with gold or silver, but with His holy, precious blood and with His innocent suffering and death, that I may be His own and live under Him in His kingdom and serve Him in everlasting righteousness, innocence, and blessedness, just as He is risen from the dead, lives, and reigns to all eternity. This is most certainly true.

(Martin Luther, *Luther’s Small Catechism* [Saint Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1986]).

1. While there is in this explanation no explicit mention of salvation, how does our threefold confession of salvation arise here also?

2. In his Small Catechism, Dr. Luther does mention salvation explicitly in two instances, concerning Baptism and the Lord’s Supper.

   **What benefits does Baptism give?** It works forgiveness of sins, rescues from death and the devil, and gives eternal salvation to all who believe this, as the words and promises of God declare.

   **What is the benefit of this eating and drinking?** These words, “given and shed for you for the forgiveness of sins,” show us that in the Sacrament forgiveness of sins, life, and salvation are given us through these words. For where there is forgiveness of sins, there is also life and salvation.

3. Honing in further still on the precise use of language that Luther employs: How does Luther’s tight confession of “where there is forgiveness of sins, there is also life and salvation” serve as both a rich and a winsome cat-echetical teaching? How might we make more use of this particular language than we do?
TEACHING/PREACHING USAGE OF THE WORD

1. A parishioner comes to you to inquire about Baptism. He is uncertain about Baptism but is certain that there are differing confessions amongst some of his extended family members. In an effort to both understand and to close the gap in divergent confessions, he begins, “Pastor, I know it’s not a salvation issue for me or for them. I know that Jesus secured my salvation on the cross, and theirs too, so I know this isn’t a salvation issue. But can you please help me better understand Baptism?” How might you proceed?

2. Consider the question, “Are you saved?” How is this a helpful or unhelpful question? How does answering it often go sideways? How can we instill in our hearers, through both teaching and preaching, an appetite for more helpful questions and confessions concerning salvation?

3. Concerning our liturgical Church Year, while we confess the full and rich saving work of Christ each and every Sunday, are there parts of our liturgical Church Year uniquely situated to draw particular attention to Christ, His saving work (salvation) or His kingdom? If so, how might we make the best use of these occasions? What might we uniquely confess at Christmas, Transfiguration, Good Friday, Easter and Pentecost?

DISCUSSION

1. We are sometimes so focused on the cross and salvation as penal atonement that we fail to celebrate that “[I am] His own and live under Him in His Kingdom and serve Him in everlasting righteousness, innocence, and blessedness.” Do you find this to be true? How is this flawed confession corrected?

2. How might we better celebrate the Lutheran Confession of salvation in and through Baptism and the Lord’s Supper as a basis for our own people to comprehend the weak and even harmful confessions of other Christian confessions concerning the same?

3. It is said that on a monastery wall there hangs a sign that reads, “Everybody wants a revolution, but nobody wants to wash the dishes.” While that quote could launch a thousand conversations on vocation, let us take it as a framework to come up with our own quote about salvation: “Everybody wants God with us, but nobody wants a Savior.” Needing the Savior and having the Savior goes hand in hand in confessing unpleasant things about you, me and all people in all places. Yet for those of us in Christ, “There is therefore now no condemnation” (Rom. 8:1). Therefore, we often conceive of salvation language as language that always and only provides comfort. Yet the language of salvation may just as easily cause some hearers to bristle. How does the language and usage of salvation require that here too we need to carefully delineate Law and Gospel?
Outside of Christ, all days and all lives are ruled by scarcity. Jeremiah writes, “For my people have committed two evils: they have forsaken me, the fountain of living waters, and hewed out cisterns for themselves, broken cisterns that can hold no water” (Jer. 2:13). Not only have we chosen lives that don’t hold water, but having chosen we are bound. Or at least we were bound outside of Christ.

But from God in Christ through His life given over and taken up again, we have life, forgiveness and salvation! Christ has made us His own. And so, “With joy [we] will draw water from wells of salvation. And [we] will say in [this] day:

‘Give thanks to the LORD, call upon his name, make known his deeds among the peoples, proclaim that his name is exalted. Sing praise to the Lord, for he has done gloriously; let this be made known in all the earth. Shout, and sing for joy, O inhabitant of Zion, for great in your midst is the Holy One of Israel!’” (Is. 12:3–6).
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FOCUS ON GRACE

“Grace.” I’m sure I heard the word preached dozens of times. I considered it a “pastor word,” the kind of word spoken only by pastors. It popped up in sermons. It sometimes made an appearance in children’s messages. Many times I heard a pastor say: “Grace to you and peace, from God our Father and our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ.” Grace to me? Really? What could it mean?

Then I remembered where I learned about the word “grace.” It was confirmation class. I was taught that grace meant God’s Riches At Christ’s Expense, something I would never forget. In fact, I used that same acronym as a Lutheran school teacher, and again when I became a pastor too. Sad to say, I fear it was still just another pastor word to those who learned it. After all, what were “God’s riches?” What was “Christ’s expense?” Most importantly, what did the pastor actually mean when he said, “Grace to you”?

Thankfully, grace is much more than just another pastor word. It is a unique, personal word that speaks of God and His richest treasure given for you. So let’s use the word again as we begin this study. “Grace to you and peace, from God our Father and our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ.”

SCRIPTURAL USAGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE WORD

INTRODUCTION

Most people think of grace as only a New Testament word, a view that arises from a misunderstanding of the meaning of the word. While the acronym above teaches a meaning of the word, it really misses the depth and power of the word in our lives. Grace is a gift. It is unmerited favor or approval of God given to believers.

Think of families at meal time as they begin by saying grace. Why would they call their prayer before their meal “grace”? Consider the origins of the food and drink before them. Both were most likely purchased with funds from a paycheck. They were sold in a market. They were grown or produced by a farmer. But whomever worked to provide this meal, as well as the recipients, must understand that it is still God’s provision from His hand. It certainly wasn’t earned by those who partook of it. The kids who were fighting and lying around watching TV moments before obviously don’t deserve it. Their parents, who worked either at an outside job or at home, probably didn’t model Christ-like attitudes and behavior every moment of their days. Yet there is the meal before them. They all eat! That’s grace.

Grace is God’s undeserved favor or blessing upon you. You didn’t earn it. It was God’s doing; He made the payment. Understood in this way, you can’t help but marvel at God’s grace. What does He see in us? Doesn’t He know what we are really like? Doesn’t He know we owe Him? In fact, He does know. He knows we can’t pay the bill even if we tried. Yet there it is … grace to you. What a gift!

OLD TESTAMENT CONSIDERATIONS

Technically speaking, grace does appear in the Hebrew Old Testament. In many translations, the Hebrew word, “chen” is translated as favor, graciousness or mercy. You don’t need the ability to read Hebrew to see it. God’s grace appears over and over again.

1. Read Gen. 1:1–2:3. Where is grace found in creation?
2. Read Gen. 3:8ff. Why would God make such a promise? Grace?
3. Consider other Old Testament people. Pick some below and identify the grace given to each. Hebrews 11 is often considered the “Hall of Faith” chapter. Maybe it could be called the “Evidence of God’s Grace” chapter too. Parallel the following with this chapter.
   A. Cain and Abel – Genesis 4
   B. Noah – Gen. 6:5–22
   C. Abraham – Gen. 12:1–3
   D. Lot – Gen. 19:14–22
   E. Israel – various texts apply
   F. David – Psalm 51
   G. Nineveh – Jonah
   H. Others
4. God is a God full of grace. This is clear in many of the Psalms. How is God gracious in Psalm 103? Note especially verses 8–10.

5. Even the prophets acknowledge God’s grace. Read Isaiah 53 and Joel 2:11–13. How is God’s grace shown in these verses?

NEW TESTAMENT CONSIDERATIONS
While the Old Testament clearly illustrates God’s grace, the New Testament personifies it in the suffering, death and resurrection of Jesus. Jesus is the very definition of grace. The Greek word charis is the word for grace in the New Testament. It is used about 150 times. It means (a) a gift or blessing brought to man by Jesus Christ, (b) favor, (c) gratitude, thanks or (d) a favor, kindness (Strong’s Concordance).

1. One of the clearest and most familiar passages about grace would be Eph. 2:8–9. Why is this passage a good description of grace?

2. St. Paul was afflicted with a “thorn in his flesh.” He wanted it removed. Read about it in 2 Cor. 12:7–10. What was God’s answer? What did this mean?

3. Look up the following passages. Who is the receiver of grace? What is the importance of grace in each?
   A. Rom. 1:1–5
   B. Acts 6:8
   C. Eph. 4:7–16
   D. James 4:6–10

4. Read Heb. 4:14–16. How is God’s throne described? What is significant about this?

5. What does Rom. 11:1–6 say about grace?


CONFESSIONAL UNDERSTANDING OF GRACE
In the “Belief and Practice” page of the LCMS website, the following is posted:

“Our congregations accept and preach the Bible-based teachings of Martin Luther that inspired the reformation of the Christian Church in the 16th century. The teaching of Luther and the reformers can be summarized in three phrases: Grace alone, Faith alone, Scripture alone.” Grace is described as SOLA GRATIA — Grace Alone. God loves the people of the world, even though they are sinful, rebel against Him and do not deserve His love. He sent Jesus, His Son, to love the unlovable and save the ungodly. “For by grace you have been saved through faith. And this is not your own doing; it is the gift of God, not a result of works, so that no one may boast” (Eph. 2:8–9). (Learn more at lcms.org/belief-and-practice.)

Clearly the teaching of grace is one of the key doctrines in the Church. This is for good reason. It is front and center in understanding the Gospel. It should come as no surprise that much is written about it in our Confessions, far too much to cover in this study. So let’s look at just two statements from the Lutheran Confessions regarding this biblical word “grace:”

1. What does the following say about grace? What is it not saying about grace?

   In the words of the Apology, this article of justification by faith is “the chief article of the entire Christian doctrine,” “without which no poor conscience can have any abiding comfort or rightly understand the riches of the grace of Christ.” In the same vein Dr. Luther declared: “Where this single article remains pure, Christendom will remain pure, in beautiful harmony, and without any schisms. But where it does not remain pure, it is impossible to repel any error or heretical spirit. (WA, 311:255) And St. Paul says specifically of this doctrine that a little leaven ferments the whole lump. (1 Cor. 5:6) Therefore he stresses the exclusive terms, that is, the terms by which all human works are excluded, such as “without the law,” “without works,” “by grace alone.”

2. Where do good works fit? The Confessions address this in relation with grace. Read and discuss the following:

Good works follow such faith, renewal, and forgiveness. Whatever is still sinful or imperfect in these works will not be reckoned as sin or defect for the sake of the same Christ. The whole man, in respect both of his person and of his works, shall be accounted and shall be righteous and holy through the pure grace and mercy which have been poured out upon us so abundantly in Christ. Accordingly we cannot boast of the great merit in our works if they are considered apart from God’s grace and mercy, but, as it is written, “Let him who boasts, boast of the Lord” (1 Cor. 1:31). That is to say, all is well if we boast that we have a gracious God. To this we must add that if good works do not follow, our faith is false and not true.

2 Tappert. Smalcald Articles: III, art. Xiii.

TEACHING AND PREACHING GRACE

1. What does the hymn, “By Grace I’m Saved” proclaim about God’s grace? (See hymn on last page.)

2. Evaluate the acronym God’s Riches At Christ’s Expense. Have you used this in your preaching and teaching? Should it continue to be used in our churches and schools? Is there another way to bring out its meaning?

3. St. Paul had a thorn in the flesh. Do you have a “thorn in the flesh?” Discuss your particular “thorn.” What is God’s answer to your thorn? Is this what we want to hear? Why or why not?

4. Why begin a service or sermon with the words, “Grace to you and peace, from God our Father and our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ?”

5. Are we as pastors too quick to preach grace? Discuss. What must be preached along with grace? How can we help our hearers to understand that grace is not just a nice-sounding pastor word but something personal and meant for all believers as a gift?

6. Is grace just a Lutheran word? Why or why not? How might others define it?

CONCLUSION

“Grace to you” are the words Paul uses in the intro to his letter to the Romans, Corinthians (1 and 2), Galatians, Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, Thessalonians (1 and 2) and Philemon. These words are not spoken for the sake of saying something, but they are words that both give and state a reality in Christ for the believers in each of these cities and all believers today. These are not merely words pastors use in their sermons and in their teaching. Grace is God’s word for you. It is His gift. When days are long and the work unbearable, when you feel like you are not making any progress, when you feel unworthy and guilty, then read these divine words of Paul and say to yourself, “Grace to me.” Know that it is God’s want and will to give it to you pure and free. Then say it to your flock, “Grace to you.” For truly this word is for all people. It is God’s gift for the world. What an amazing word!

Conclude by singing “By Grace I’m Saved” (LSB 566).

1 By grace I’m saved, grace free and boundless; My soul, believe and doubt it not. Why stagger at this word of promise? Has Scripture ever falsehood taught? No! Then this word must true remain: By grace you too will life obtain.
2 By grace! None dare lay claim to merit;
   Our works and conduct have no worth.
   God in His love sent our Redeemer,
   Christ Jesus, to this sinful earth;
   His death did for our sins atone,
   And we are saved by grace alone.

3 By grace God’s Son, our only Savior,
   Came down to earth to bear our sin.
   Was it because of your own merit
   That Jesus died your soul to win?
   No, it was grace, and grace alone,
   That brought Him from His heav’nly throne.

4 By grace! This ground of faith is certain;
   As long as God is true, it stands.
   What saints have penned by inspiration,
   What in His Word our God commands,
   Our faith in what our God has done
   Depends on grace—grace through His Son.

5 By grace to timid hearts that tremble,
   In tribulation’s furnace tried,
   By grace, in spite of fear and trouble,
   The Father’s heart is open wide.
   Where could I help and strength secure
   If grace were not my anchor sure?

6 By grace! On this I’ll rest when dying;
   In Jesus’ promise I rejoice;
   For though I know my heart’s condition,
   I also know my Savior’s voice.
   My heart is glad, all grief has flown
   Since I am saved by grace alone.
Faith
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FOCUS: UNDERSTANDING THE CHRISTIAN FAITH AS A MATTER OF ITS OBJECT

“Faith comes from hearing, and hearing through the Word of Christ” (Rom. 10:17).

In his ground-breaking Heidelberg Disputation in which Dr. Luther lobbed theological grenades at the Reformation-friendly Roman scholastic Erasmus of Rotterdam, the great Reformer writes:

The law says, “Do this,” and it is never done. Grace says, “believe in this,” and everything is done already. (Thesis 26)

In this study, we will explore how this insight, which sparked the evangelical Reformation, remains both the foundational theology of the Bible and the most imperative doctrine for relevant preaching in our ever-chaotic post-modern age.

1. What is Dr. Luther’s Thesis 26 getting at?
2. What is the difference between “do this” and “believe this”?
3. Isn't “believe this” just another kind of “do this”?

SCRIPTURAL USAGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE WORD

At the heart of Dr. Luther’s reforming rediscovery of the distinction between grace and good works lies “faith,” a word that in both Old and New Testament expresses the heart of the Christian religion. Faith is both the thing that was first lost in Adam's active fall and the thing that God immediately restored by enacting the covenant promises.

Read Gen. 3:1–7.

1. Adam and Eve fell by placing faith in the serpent's words and so were actively doubting God's Word. How does this give us insight into the devil's tactic for continuing to deceive people today?

Dr. Luther, in his commentary on Genesis 3, writes:

Satan here attacks Adam and Eve in his way to deprive them of the Word and to make them believe his lie after they have lost the Word and their trust in God. … Unbelief is the source of all sins; when Satan brought about this unbelief by driving out or corrupting the Word, the rest was easy for him. … Satan staked everything on this one effort to draw them away from the Word and faith, that is, from the true to a false god.” (Luther’s Works 1:147, 153.)


What is the result of God's curse of the serpent?

For humanity, the result was a return to faith. This is seen especially in Eve's new name, the Hebrew word “life.” Adam calls her this, recognizing that though they had been cursed with death, from her would come the Seed who would bring them all back to life. This is later also seen in Eve's naming of Cain, in which she confesses her belief that he may be the Savior.

2. The covenant promises continue to be a matter of faith throughout the Old Testament. Read Gen. 15:1–17. There are many fine things in this text, but for our study there are two important questions:
   a. What is the result of God's promise?
   b. According to the covenant, who is responsible for fulfilling the promise?

In the New Testament, St. Paul picks up on this theology and asserts that it is the very definition of the Gospel message of the Church. Read Rom. 4:1–5 and Gal. 3:1–9.

3. How does Paul's use of language reveal the life or death necessity of this theology/doctrine for a living Christian spirituality?
CONFESSIONAL USAGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE WORD

There can be little question that the Lutheran Confessions were forged in a spiritual warfare centered around the defense of the word “faith.” The great Articles IV and V of the Augsburg Confession are weapons of righteousness fused with God’s divine power for demolishing the strongholds of human arguments and lofty opinions raised against the pure knowledge of God, promised to mankind in the person of Christ.

Furthermore, it is taught that we cannot obtain forgiveness of sin and righteousness before God through our merit, work, or satisfactions, but that we receive forgiveness of sin and become righteous before God out of grace for Christ’s sake through faith when we believe that Christ has suffered for us and that for his sake our sin is forgiven and righteousness and eternal life are given to us. For God will regard and reckon this faith as righteousness in his sight, as St. Paul says in Romans 3 and 4.

To obtain such faith God has instituted the office of preaching, giving the Gospel and the sacraments. Through these, as through means, he gives the Holy Spirit who produces faith, where and when he wills, in those who hear the Gospel. It [the Gospel] teaches that we have a gracious God, not through our merit but through Christ’s merit, when we so believe. (The Book of Concord, Kolb/Wengert, p.38–40)

These words are not Holy Scripture, but they are a pure re-stating of the inspired and inerrant Word of God that is taught in Holy Scripture. In this way, these words are a normative boundary for the true Church of God in every age, reminding us both why we are who we are and how we are to seek to bring others to a knowledge of the truth.

1. The words of the Augsburg Confession were written in another time and place, where people were different than they are today. Doesn’t this mean that while the Confession was true for its time, it is not necessarily true for all times and places?

2. How then does God now consider men to be righteous?

TEACHING/PREACHING USAGE OF THE WORD

One of the greatest challenges for the churches of the Augsburg Confession has always been the art of distinguishing the preaching/confessing that is “about faith” from the preaching/confessing that “creates faith.” The biblical reality is that “faith comes from hearing,” but not from hearing about “faith.” Faith comes from hearing about Jesus. It is not that one must never preach or teach “about” justifying faith or good works. But that teaching “about” justifying faith and good works does not justify the sinner into belief. Only preaching about Jesus’ person, deeds and promises does that.

As Luther writes,

*This Gospel of God or New Testament is a good story and report, sounding forth into all the world by the apostles, telling of a true David who strove with sin, death, and the devil, and overcame them, and thereby rescued all those who were captive in sin, afflicted with death, and overpowered by the devil. Without any merit of their own, he made them righteous, gave them life, and saved them. … A poor man, dead in sin and consigned to hell, can hear nothing more comforting than this precious and tender message about Christ; from the bottom of his heart he must laugh and be glad over it, if he believes it is true. (Luther’s Works, 35:361)*

Read through a few of the recorded sermons in Acts:


1. What is the common content that holds together all this preaching?

2. How might a misunderstanding of this point affect the faith and life of today’s churches?

3. How might a right/biblical understanding of faith’s place in the salvation of God enhance our life together?
FURTHER DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

1. In her sojourn toward the last day, the Church is often tempted to adopt the words and thinking of the culture or society around them. How is this temptation similar to the Pharisaic position in Acts 4:15–17 and 5:27–29?

2. Is the teaching about “faith alone” antinomianism? How should the biblical texts of Law be preached?

3. Doesn't today’s changing, radical context demand a changing, radical take on the Gospel?

SUMMARY

Dr. Luther’s Thesis 25 in the Heidelberg Disputation serves as a marvelous summary of the biblical teaching on faith: He is not righteous who works much, but he who, without work, believes much in Christ.

Phillip Melanchthon prayed for trust in this Gospel in the famous words of his great hymn, centered on the life of the churches who wait for our Lord’s return:

Lord Jesus Christ, with us abide, for round us falls the eventide. O let your Word, that saving light, shine forth undimmed into the night. In these last days of great distress grant us, dear Lord, true steadfastness, that we keep pure till life is spent your holy Word and Sacrament. May glorious truths that we have heard, the bright sword of your mighty Word, spurn Satan that your Church be strong, bold, unified in act and song (LSB 585: 1–2, 4).
FOCUS OF THE STUDY

“Righteousness. What is it? Simply put, righteousness is moral rectitude, goodness, the quality of being morally right or justifiable. And with that last bit on justifiable, we enter into language of vindication and salvation. The term is broad in application and scope in the Scripture. The question, however, that is perhaps lurking behind the definition is this: Whose righteousness are we talking about? Who is righteous? What does it mean that God is righteous? What does it mean that man is righteous? What or who is our righteousness before God? What is our righteousness before men?

Martin Luther wrote perhaps the best introduction to any biblical study on righteousness in his great Galatians commentary of 1535:

“First of all, we must speak of the argument, that is, of the issue with which Paul deals in this epistle. The argument is this: Paul wants to establish the doctrine of faith, grace, the forgiveness of sins or Christian righteousness, so that we may have a perfect knowledge and know the difference between Christian righteousness and all other kinds of righteousness.

Over and above all these there is the righteousness of faith or Christian righteousness, which is to be distinguished most carefully from all the others … . For these kinds of righteousness of works, too, are gifts of God, as are all the things we have. But this most excellent righteousness, the righteousness of faith, which God imputes to us through Christ without works, is neither political nor ceremonial nor legal nor works-righteousness but is quite the opposite; it is a merely passive righteousness, while all the others, listed above, are active. For here we work nothing, render nothing to God; we only receive and permit someone else to work in us, namely, God. Therefore it is appropriate to call the righteousness of faith or Christian righteousness ‘passive.’ This is a righteousness hidden in a mystery, which the world does not understand. In fact, Christians themselves do not adequately understand it or grasp it in the midst of their temptations. Therefore it must always be taught and continually exercised.”

SCRIPTURAL USAGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF RIGHTEOUSNESS

Righteousness in the Old Testament
In general, righteousness in the Old Testament is used in the following ways:
› What is fair: Deut. 33:19
› What judges and kings ought to be: Lev. 19:15; 2 Sam. 8:15

God is righteous. He is morally upright and fair. We see this in conjunction with the following:
› God’s gift of salvation: Is. 51:4–8
› God’s gift of deliverance: Ps. 40:9–10

God saves for His righteousness’ sake. This is especially clear in the Books of Isaiah and in the Psalms. Isaiah 51 is a beautiful poetic explanation of God’s righteousness for His people. His righteousness draws near, and His salvation goes out. God’s righteousness and God’s salvation will endure to all generations. Psalm 40 is where we see the closest tie between righteousness, deliverance and salvation. In fact, the word “Tšedeq” is translated as deliverance in this Psalm. God’s righteous deliverance of His people is something to be proclaimed in the congregation.

1. But how is this salvation and deliverance delivered? The language of crediting, imputing and reckoning is closely related to the concept of righteousness. Read Gen. 15:4–7 and reflect.

2. The name of the Messianic king is deeply tied to the concept of righteousness and salvation. Read Jer. 23:5–6 and reflect on this concept of righteousness.

1  Luther’s Works, volume 26, p. 4–5.
Righteousness in the New Testament

1. As with the Old Testament, many uses of the word "righteous" and "righteousness" in the New Testament are those speaking of fairness, uprightness and justness. Read and discuss the following and the relationship of each with righteousness:
   › Qualification for overseers: Titus 1:8
   › One who practices righteousness: 1 John 3:7
   › Works done by us in righteousness: Titus 3:5

2. Jesus Himself is described as “the Righteous One.” Read the following and reflect in light of this: 1 John 2:1 and Acts 3:5.

3. The righteousness of God means that God dispenses justice equitably without reference to the Law by making salvation available to all humanity. Read the following and reflect in light of this:
   › Rom. 3:21
   › Gal. 2:21
   › Gal. 3:21

4. In Paul’s argument in the Book of Romans, we see the clearest presentation of this idea of the righteousness that comes by faith in Christ. Read these two texts, and discuss the role of faith in the daily life of righteousness: Rom. 1:16–17 and Rom. 2:13.

5. Because both Jew and Greek have failed to keep the Law, they are all under God’s wrath. Read the following and discuss this failure and the wrath it brings: Rom. 3:10; 3:21–26.

6. In Romans 5, Paul goes on to make another wonderful case for righteousness by faith in Christ. Jesus Himself is our righteousness because He fulfilled all of the righteous requirements of the Law in our place and underwent the punishment we deserved for breaking that Law. Read Rom. 5:12–21 and Matt. 3:13–17, and then discuss the substitutionary role and righteousness of Christ.

CONFESSIONAL USAGE AND UNDERSTANDING OF RIGHTEOUSNESS

Righteousness in the Augsburg Confession
In the Augsburg Confession, the righteousness that comes by faith is a central theme. This is first seen in AC Article IV on Justification, paragraph 3. “For God will regard and reckon this faith as righteousness.”

Righteousness in the Formula of Concord
In the Formula of Concord, we find the clearest presentation of what the righteousness of faith is. This all came about through a controversy. Andrew Osiander attacked the proposition that God declares sinners righteous for Christ’s sake. Justification, in his understanding, was not about how God declares sinners righteous, but about how God gradually makes a person righteous by dwelling in Him with Christ’s divine nature. Justification, therefore, is a process whereby we actually become righteous because of Christ’s indwelling righteousness. Francis Stoncaro, along with Peter Lombard, said that Christ is our righteousness only according to His human nature.

Against both parties in this debate, the theologians of the Augsburg Confession, most notably Martin Chemnitz, confessed that Christ is our righteousness, not according to the divine nature alone or the human nature alone, but according to both natures. By His perfect obedience, Christ, the God-man, redeemed us from all sins, justified us and saved us.

Note Formula of Concord, Solid Declaration, Article III.
“Concerning the righteousness of faith before God we believe, teach, and confess unanimously, in accord with the summary formulation of our Christian faith and confession described above, that a poor sinner is justified before God (that is, he is absolved and declared utterly free from all his sins, and from the verdict of well deserved damnation, and is adopted as a child of God and an heir of eternal life) without any merit or worthiness on our part, and without any preceding, present,

or subsequent works, by sheer grace, solely through the merit of the total obedience, the bitter passion, the death, and the resurrection of Christ, our Lord, whose obedience is reckoned to us as righteousness.\(^3\)

The righteousness that comes from faith is not an indwelling righteousness, but it is Christ's righteousness, that is, His total obedience under the Law and His complete sacrifice for our sins on the cross. “The result of this righteousness is that God forgives us and counts us as holy and right. He saves us forever on account of Christ's earlier obedience. This righteousness is offered by the Holy Spirit through the means of Grace, i.e. the Gospel and the sacraments.” (See Formula of Concord, Solid Declaration III, paragraphs 14–17.)\(^4\)

This results in the complete separation of our good works from justification before God. Good works do not factor into our justification before God, even in the smallest bit. Also, faith is properly defined as the only way we obtain the merit of Christ in the promise of the Gospel. The good works that we do, the renewal and new life that we experience and the virtue that we pursue are not our righteousness before God. They ought not be mixed with the doctrine of justification. (See paragraphs 37–39.)\(^5\)

---

**TEACHING/PREACHING USAGE OF RIGHTEOUSNESS**

**Righteousness in the context of Law and Gospel, using biblical examples**

Righteousness is a word used in both the Law and the Gospel. Righteous requirements are those that God places upon us. We were originally created in righteousness and for righteousness, but we rebelled against God. Therefore, Rom. 3:10 states it clearly: “None is righteous, no, not one.”

Jesus clearly said, “Unless your righteousness exceeds that of the scribes and Pharisees you will not enter the kingdom of heaven” (Matt. 5:20) He then goes on to preach the Law in a spiritual manner (cf. vs. 21ff), that is, in a way to destroy all reliance on human self-righteousness before God and to leave only one righteousness open to us for our salvation. This is what the preaching of the Law is meant to do. Yes, it can inform. Surely it can guide a Christian. But the chief purpose of the Law is to destroy any pretention of our own righteousness, merit, worthiness or ability before God. It is to take away any prop that someone might cling to, any righteous scrap, to imprison all of that until Christ comes in the proclamation of the Gospel to set us free from sin, death and Satan.

Our righteousness, according to the Decalogue, will always be imperfect and wanting on this side of eternity. The Law of God fronts us up to this reality. Yet there is another righteousness that is pure Gospel. Here the preacher can change his whole demeanor concerning righteousness! This is no longer a demand placed upon us, but a promise given to us, a promise of redemption and salvation that the whole of Scripture speaks of. God is righteous. His righteousness is revealed apart from the Law, but given through faith in Jesus Christ. God shows His justice in Christ, that is, our sins find their just punishment in Him. There is no legal fiction here. There is simply one standing in place of another, the righteous one for the unrighteous. “For Christ also suffered once for sins, the righteous for the unrighteous, that He might bring us to God” (1 Peter 3:18).

It is of utmost importance for the Gospel preacher to help his congregation understand that the righteousness of faith is the same thing as forgiveness of sins, salvation, redemption and the non-imputation of sins. Simply put, they don't count anymore before God because God the Father has done away with the sins in His Son, Jesus. The one who believes this has that righteousness, forgiveness, salvation, non-imputation of sins and the like applied to him by grace for Christ's sake. There is simply nothing of ourselves in the Gospel promise; we merely receive it by faith in Jesus the propitiator. The Gospel preacher can juxtapose works and faith, as Paul does in Romans 4, or the two Adams, as Paul does in Romans 5. Here there is much comfort!

The Gospel preacher can also look forward to the revelation of this righteousness on the Last Day. It is the eager hope and expectation of the Christian to attain to the crown of righteousness, the new heaven and the new earth where righteousness dwells, and to be found in a righteousness, not his own, but that belonging to Christ. This is our righteous robe before God. And it is all delivered to us solely by faith in Jesus Christ, the righteous one.

---

3 Ibid., 540–541.

4 Ibid., 541.

5 Ibid., 546.
False Understandings of Righteousness and the Implications

Read the following and discuss some of the implications to hearers if they have such false understandings of righteousness.

1. My Good Works Are Righteous Enough.
   Since the biblical concept of righteousness has a general definition of what is right, just, normal and fair, one can clearly see how many Scripture passages preach the Law to us concerning our own unrighteousness before God. But Scripture goes deeper than a mere surface understanding of our unrighteousness. I remember having an extensive conversation with a fellow college student concerning good works. The topic of the conversation was simply this: Do we need Jesus’ blood and forgiveness for our good works? Before men, our good works actually appear good. But before God, even our good works are as filthy rags. Even as we understand that civil righteousness and the righteousness of the Decalogue is what God desires and is attainable by us outwardly, we must clearly state that it is not our justification and salvation before God. Even that civil righteousness and righteousness of the Decalogue is acceptable before God only because of the righteousness of faith in Jesus Christ, our Savior. There is no part of a Christian’s life that does not need the saving blood of Jesus, the propitiator.

This incorrect understanding of righteousness can have detrimental effects on our koinonia in Christ. It can lead to an understanding that, although we need the forgiveness of sins for those things that we believe are sinful, we do not need forgiveness and the righteousness of Christ for those actions which we have done that are not sinful. Any belief that we have actions that are not tainted with sin becomes a tool for the devil to take our focus off Christ and onto our own perceived uprightness. And with our eyes diverted from Christ, it is easier for righteousness to become something of a measurement between people. Our causes become righteous causes. Our positions become entrenched. We no longer see our sinfulness and our need for mutual conversation and consolation of the brethren, but we see only the justice of our cause and our own righteousness in that cause. The righteousness of faith is manifested in the forgiveness of sins. Imagining ourselves to be without sins to confess, we become manifestly self-righteous and therefore unrighteous.

2. How Do I Know I’m Saved? Jesus Dwells in My Heart.
   Another detrimental misunderstanding of righteousness can be found in the Jesus in the “us v. Jesus for us” debate. Jesus does indeed dwell in us, but Jesus in us does not make for our justification and righteousness before God. Jesus in us does indeed impel Christians to live godly lives, but that does not make for our justification and righteousness before God either. That inchoate righteousness is not the righteousness that avails before God. The only righteousness that avails before God, that forgives sins and redeems sinners, is the righteousness of Christ, who lived a perfect life for us in our place and died on the cross for our sins. This is only appropriated by faith.

This incomplete understanding of righteousness can lead to much mischief when it comes to certainty of salvation. As Dr. Norman Nagel is fond of putting it, “Doubt is inimical to the Gospel.” Any focus on Christ in us, impelling us to do good works, as being the whole or a part of our justification before God, leads to uncertainty. In turn, that uncertainty leads to a misunderstanding of righteousness as being about good deeds balancing out evil deeds, and therefore, not about Christ’s suffering and death for sinners. Satan doesn’t care whether we end up leaving the Christian faith in despair or becoming self-righteous pseudo-Christians. Either way, he wins. Either way, the certainty of salvation is robbed from Christians when they look to and trust their own righteousness before God, either in whole or in part, whether or not they perceive it to be impelled by Christ’s indwelling. Any focus on the heart is simply focus on our sin.

Objective Realities

Identify the ways the proper understanding of the word serves to identify the objective realities revealed in and worked by Jesus Christ for the hearers.

The proper understanding of the righteousness of God would bless and enhance our koinonia in Christ. If we grow in our understanding that our righteousness before God is not the civil righteousness, nor the righteousness of the Decalogue, but the righteousness of faith in Christ, it becomes easier mutually to forgive one another. Our righteousness will not consist in defending particular practices or pointing to worldly success, but to simply and humbly trust in Christ, the propitiator.

A proper understanding of the righteousness of God would also lead to greater certainty in salvation. The righteousness of God in Christ is the greatest source of certainty and joy for the Christian.

A proper understanding of the righteousness of faith in Christ alone would also help both pastors and people better understand what church is for. Church is for the proclamation of Christ’s saving work for the world. It is for the delivery of the Word of God and the Sacraments. Through these, the Holy Spirit creates faith in Christ the propitiator, and this faith is reckoned as righteousness before God.
DISCUSSION

Perhaps some of the best discussion questions produced concerning the righteousness of faith in Christ come from a book called *The Fire and the Staff* by the Rev. Klemet Preus. Preus helped us get at the debate between the objective accomplishments of Christ and the subjective feeling or heart situation in which we find ourselves. Read through, discuss and identify which is the objective accomplishment and which is the subjective. How can these best be addressed in Bible Class setting, sermons, Confession and Absolution and the like?

1. A. I am righteous before God because Jesus lived for me in time.  
   B. I am righteous before God because Jesus lives in my heart.

2. A. That righteousness that avails before God is inside of me.  
   B. The righteousness that avails before God is outside of me.

3. A. God accepts me because my attitude toward God has changed.  
   B. God accepts me because God’s attitude toward me has changed.

4. A. I am saved because God counts the merit and worthiness of Christ to my credit.  
   B. I am saved because God pours the merit and worthiness of Christ into my heart.

5. A. The righteousness that counts before God for me is the righteousness that Jesus always possessed as the Son of God.  
   B. The righteousness that counts before God for me is the righteousness that Jesus earned through His life and death.

6. A. God accepts us only because of the forgiveness of sins.  
   B. God accepts us also because Jesus lives in our hearts.

7. A. Justification happened 2,000 years ago and is received by faith.  
   B. Justification depends on faith and did not happen 2,000 years ago.

8. A. I know Jesus lives because I feel Him in my heart.  
   B. I know Jesus lives because of the Gospel.6

SUMMARY

The most important thing that we should understand about the proper use of the word “righteousness” is that the proper use can give abundant comfort to the penitent sinner. The improper use robs pious consciences of this peace. Luther, in his Galatians commentary, has this beautiful way of emphasizing this point while commenting on Gal. 2:20, “Who loved me and gave Himself for me.”

“Therefore let us learn to distinguish carefully between Christ and a lawgiver, not only in word but also in fact and in practice. Then, when the devil comes, disguised as Christ and harassing us under His name, we will know that he is not Christ, but that he is really the devil. For Christ is the joy and sweetness of a trembling and troubled heart. We have this on the authority of Paul, who adorns Him with the sweetest of titles here, calling Him the one ‘who loved me and give Himself for me.’ Therefore Christ is the Lover of those who are in anguish, sin, and death, and

the kind of Lover who gives Himself for us and becomes our High Priest, that is, the One who interposes Himself as the Mediator between God and us miserable sinners. I ask you what could be said that would be more joyful and happy than this? If all this is true — and it must be true, otherwise the whole Gospel is false — then surely we are not justified by the righteousness of the Law, much less by our own righteousness.”7

---
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FOCUS

Kadosh and ἁγίος
Holiness is a state of purity and moral integrity that is an essential attribute of the triune God (Is. 6:3). Through the gift of sanctification in Jesus Christ, the Holy Spirit calls God’s people to be saints, to be holy people (1 Cor. 1:2; Gal. 3:1–5). Nine times in Ephesians, St. Paul refers to the people of God as saints, as holy people.

When we think of holiness, two things stand out. First, this gift must ever and always be extra nos. It comes from outside of us. The holiness of Adam and Eve came from outside themselves as perfect creations of a holy God. Having forfeited that holiness in sin, they had no holiness of their own and no means to regain or gain it for themselves. As their descendants, no one born of the flesh has any holiness. It must forever come from outside ourselves as a gift from God (James 1:17). The Holy Son of God perfectly obeyed the Law of God for us to pave the way for the reception of this gospel gift (Matt. 1:17). As the sole mediator between God and man, Jesus is the one who makes this gift possible (1 Tim. 2:5; Heb. 2:11). The Father is the author of this gift. Jesus is the agent of this gift. The Holy Spirit is the administrator of this gift (Eph. 1:3–14).

Second, the gift of holiness is a gift we have already and one that we continually receive. As people who are at the same time sinner and saint, it is part of the “now, not yet” tension in which we live. Through Jesus’ means of grace, the Lord of the Church bestows this gift upon His redeemed. Our need constantly to receive this gift of God is born of the fact that we are unable to manage the gift of holiness ourselves because our sinful nature is still with us, tainting and working against God’s gift. Daily we sin much, forfeiting this holiness for the sake of sin and always standing in the need of the forgiveness of sins, by which we are renewed in this gift of holiness.

Through Holy Baptism, the Holy Son of God clothes us with holiness (Gal. 3:26–29). Through Holy Communion, the sinless Son of God renews us with holiness through the gift of forgiveness of sins (Matt. 26:28). Through Holy Absolution, the risen Savior breathes on His disciples His Holy Spirit (John 20:21–23). Finally, through the preaching of the Gospel, the Savior unleashes His saving power for justification, sanctification and restoration (Rom. 1:16).

In this study, we will, with the help of the Holy Spirit, ponder this gift and how it comes to us through Jesus Christ in time and space by grace! It is a gift that sets us apart for living and leading a life filled with hope because Christ has saved us, even though every day we still experience our sinful flesh, by nature a wretched and unholy sinner, that will be with us until we die (Rom. 7:14–25). Receiving His gift of holiness, we also receive from God a clearer picture of what true love looks like. Like love, holiness binds together all God’s gifts and attributes.

Growing up in Israel, our neighborhood was filled with synagogues. Often on the entrance of these synagogues, they would have these words inscribed: הוהי שדוק שדוק שדוק תואבצ "Holy, holy, holy is the LORD of hosts" (Is. 6:3). Thus a person entering this place knew he was stepping unto sacred soil, holy grounds. They were coming into the presence of the Holy God of Israel — the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, the God of the living and not the dead.

SCRIPTURAL BASIS

The sacred Scriptures testify to God’s holiness on so many different levels. Moses, in the book of Leviticus, highlights the holiness of God: “For I am the Lord your God. Consecrate yourselves therefore, and be holy, for I am holy. You shall not defile yourselves with any swarming thing that crawls on the ground” (Lev. 11:44).

In Ex. 3:4, the Lord God tells Moses “Take your sandals off your feet, for the place on which you are standing is holy ground” (Ex. 3:5).

Our Lord and Savior, Jesus, in His Sermon on the Mount, said: “You therefore must be perfect, as your heavenly Father is perfect” (Matt. 5:48).

1. What is holiness?
2. What is the distinction between sacred (holy) and secular (impure)?
3. We humans are not “holy” nor do we have “holiness” of our own.

4. What is it that makes us humans covered in His holiness?

Holiness apart from Christ is dangerous to us. When the unholy comes in contact with the holy, it ceases to exist. But in Christ, things are different. In Christ, holiness is communicable. Holiness is passed from God to us when we are touched by the Word in the waters of Holy Baptism. We receive the Holy Spirit and are made holy. As in life, we are what we eat. When we ingest that which in holy in the Holy Supper of our Lord, Christ’s body and blood under bread and wine, we become holy as well. In neither case is holiness a creation of ours or about our effort. It is not a state that we can attain. It is always about Jesus and what He does for us.

Dr. Luther in his large catechism stated: “Where God’s name is, there must be life and salvation [Psalm 54:1]. So Baptism may certainly be called a divine, blessed, fruitful and gracious water” (Large Catechism IV 26–27). In this new life we become holy as we are dressed in the garments of holiness.

Furthermore Luther states: “Christian holiness is not active; it is passive...You and I are holy, the church, city, and people are holy, not by their own but by an alien holiness, not by active but by passive holiness, because they have divine and holy things, to wit, the ministry, the Gospel, Baptism, through which they are made holy” (What Luther Says, CPH, p. 657).

Our ears are made holy by way of the extra nos as we hear the majestic call of the Lord Himself in the proclamation of His name. It sounds much like those to whom holiness has been imparted in Divine Worship. We dare not take it lightly. It is our fondest dream, our delight, our destiny in Christ to sing of, to, with and about His Holiness! Now we understand why not all worship is acceptable.

The root meaning of “holiness” (הָּלֻגְס יִלֶּם) is “separate.” The Hebrew verb “to be holy” (שֵּׁדַק) first appears in the establishment of the Sabbath as a distinct day from the previous six days. Gen 2:3 states, “God blessed the seventh day and hallowed it” (וֹתֹא שֵּׁדַק הָלֻגְס יִלֶּם). (Study “Priesthood in the Pentateuch.”) Indeed, God blessed the seventh day, the worship day, the rest day and put in it the capacity to receive and sustain increase from Him, holiness.

Dr. John Kleing adds: “Gerhard von Rad taught me that God’s holiness was a life-giving, life-sustaining power that was opposed to the deadly power of impurity. Holiness was communicated by physical contact with the holy things at the sanctuary.”

God had instituted the Divine Service to share His holiness with Israel and the Church.

Kleinig paints a beautiful picture of this holy God who loves “His Treasure Possessions” and wanted to bless His people with this same holiness stating: “God did not keep his holiness to himself, nor did he use it to distance himself from his sinful people. Instead, he joined them on their earthly journey so that he could share his holiness with them. They didn’t sanctify themselves; he sanctified them. He made and kept them holy. They drew their holiness from him, and him only.”

The Holy One makes and keeps us holy! In time Χρόνος and eternity καιρός. A holiness that occurs in one without the other is counterfeit.

C. S. Lewis helps us with understanding the holiness of God better in this brief paragraph.

“In Isaiah 6:1-8, we see Isaiah’s vision of God in the temple. He sees the Lord “sitting on a throne lofty and exalted” (vs. 1). Seraphim surround Him calling to each other, “Holy, Holy, Holy, is the Lord of hosts, the whole earth is full of his glory” (vs. 3). This triple repetition of a divine attribute is without parallel in the rest of the Bible. Scripture never says that God is “justice, justice, justice” or even “love, love, love,” but it does say that He is “holy, holy, holy.” The Hebrew does not have a grammatical way to express the comparative or the superlative (i.e., holier or holiest). The way it stresses the importance of something is by repetition.” (from the Fall 2008 issue of Knowing and Doing: cslewisinstitute.org/The_Holiness_of_God_FullArticle)

It is impossible for us to understand the holiness of God on our own, because we are impure, not dedicated and unholy. Our finite minds can't grasp or fathom something that was sacred, something that would send Isaiah shivering and trembling with awe and fear, as He stands in the presence of the Holy God. However, the Holy Spirit opens our eyes and helps our feeble minds to get a glimpse of this, as we come in contact with the Holy things.

1 Kleinig, John W., Concordia Commentary, A Theological Exposition of Sacred Scripture Leviticus. St. Louis: CPH, 2003, p. XIV.

2 Ibid, p XIV.

3 Ibid., p 10.
CONFESSIONAL USE OF THE WORD HOLINESS

According to Kenneth E. Larson’s *Concordance to the Book of Concord* (NPH, 1989), there are only 26 references to the word “holiness” in the Confessions (p. 256). Of these most are talking of the false holiness of the errorists. But hidden within all of this, two gems revealed themselves. In the Large Catechism, in the discussion on the Creed, in the third article, paragraphs 47–59 speak of the holiness of the holy Christian Church, the importance of the imputed holiness that comes to the Church through her means (see in particular par. 54–59) as the office and work of the Holy Spirit: to sanctify, to make one holy. In the Large Catechism, paragraphs 47–59 in the discussion on the Creed, the third article, speak of that holiness.

Then again, in the Large Catechism, on Holy Baptism (fourth chief part), right before going into the beautiful discussion on infant Baptism, Luther summarizes what the threefold gifts of forgiveness of sins, eternal life and salvation mean as they are poured over us in the 44–46th paragraphs.

We may also stay with the Large Catechism and turn to the Sacrament of the Altar wherein the benefits of what it means to be nourished and brought to new birth and the new life are good, even though the word “holiness” is not found here.4

The definition of the church in AP VII–VIII: “Therefore in accordance with the Scripture we maintain that the church is, properly speaking, the assembly of the saints who truly believe the gospel of Christ and have the Holy Spirit.”5 This opens the discussion up to what the Church is as the holy ones, yes, those who do indeed receive the holy things.

TEACHING/PREACHING

What is precious about God making us holy (incarnationally, sacramentally, scripturally imparting His holiness) is that this is not a one-time event, but our triune God’s continuous activity. He works through His means of grace to make us holy. Every time our hearts, ears and lips are touched by His Word and Sacrament we become holy unto the Lord, set apart to do good works (Eph. 2:10). That is the great blessings we have as we enter His holy place. We stand on sacred ground. In this place, the holiness of God continuously touches, purifies and sets apart those who are drawn into the worship to be holy, forgiven of our sins. Those who come to the Divine Service are declared as clean as was Isaiah when the coal from the altar of God touched his formerly unclean lips.

1. At what point is this “holiness” connected in the Divine Service?
2. How can the pastor help his saints grasp the magnitude of God’s holiness?

---

5 Ibid., para. 28, p. 178
DISCUSSION

1. In relation to the imparting of the Holy One and the giving of His true body and blood for us (the holy things for the holy ones), how does this understanding of “holiness” work with our understanding of close(d) Communion? By that we mean: If this is the sacred place and time, and these are the gifts of God, then how does this impact our welcoming to His holy table those who may not be properly prepared and/or those of another confession?

2. If the means of grace are indeed His means to make us and keep us holy, and these are found in the Divine Service, then how does that affect the criteria by which we evaluate liturgical innovations in the forms we use for the Divine Service? These are but two examples, and many more could be used by the pastor with his people to show them that the holy life is fed and nourished, yes, even birthed, within the Divine Service by the thrice-hagion God of Father, Son and Holy Spirit.

3. The holiness that is outside us is from heaven above. In the Divine Service, the One who is Holy gives His holiness, His full and free forgiveness, eternal life and salvation. How might the pastor use the various parts of the common liturgy of the Divine Service (e.g., the Gloria in Excelsis, the Offertory, the Sanctus) to teach his people that the holy life is fed and nourished, yes, even birthed, in and through the Divine Service by the thrice-holy God, Father, Son and Holy Spirit?

Further Discussion Questions:

1. First, holiness is not a concept or a thing. How does this help to understand Isaiah’s frequent reference to God as “the Holy one of Israel”? What does this mean as a foundation for the total message of Isaiah? How does Hosea 11:9 help in understanding holiness?

2. What is the difference between “holiness” and “glory”?

3. How can anyone tell what holiness is?


5. How can we “keep something holy”?

6. Are there holy time, holy space, holy things? Are there degrees of holiness? Are some things holier than other things or people?

7. After conversion/Baptism, we are at one and the same time saint and sinner (simul Justus et peccator), condemned by the Law of God, but fully redeemed in Christ, covered with His righteousness. How does holiness relate to sanctification? How does the Old Testament and New Testament define sanctification? How is it that Leviticus says both that God sanctifies you and that you are to “sanctify yourselves”?

SUMMARY

Ultimately what God desires for us, His treasured possession, is to continue to remain holy. To help us remain in this state, He wants us to continue to be in contact with the holy things. The place to connect is then to be in His presence, in His temple, around His holy Word and Holy Sacraments. For this reason, worship life is beneficial, a blessing and beautiful thing for us. Here we receive the life-sustaining and life-strengthening gifts.

From His Word flows into our ears the forgiveness of sins, and from His pierced hands we receive His precious body and blood into our mouths. With love in His heart and holes in His hands, He offers us His holy things.

What a blessed people we are to belong to such a loving and holy God. Peter helps us appreciate what God has done for us: “But you are a chosen race, a royal priesthood, a holy nation, a people for His own possession, that you may proclaim the excellencies of him who called you out of darkness into His marvelous light. Once you were not a people, but now you are God’s people; once you had not received mercy, but now you have received mercy” (1 Peter 2:9–10). Holiness is ours because of His holy things. Thanks be to God that He has made us holy in the death and resurrection of His Son, Who covers us with His garments of salvation and His robes of righteousness.